# A. 16 (A)

|    | D 1  |
|----|--|
| 1  | Page 1   |
| 2  | HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK                  |
|    | UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT                 |
| 3  | SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK                  |
| 4  | X  |
| 5  | In Re:   |
| 6  | Chapter 11                                     |
| 7  | LEHMAN BROTHERS Case No. 08-13555 (JMP)        |
| 8  | HOLDINGS, INC., et al., (Jointly Administered) |
| 9  |  |
|    | Debtors.                                       |
| 10 |  |
|    | X  |
| 11 |  |
| 12 | * * * HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL * * *                |
| 13 | DEPOSITION OF ALEX KIRK                        |
| 14 | New York, New York                             |
| 15 | August 31, 2009                                |
| 16 |  |
| 17 |  |
| 18 |  |
| 19 |  |
| 20 |  |
| 21 |  |
| 22 |  |
| 23 | Reported by:                                   |
| 24 | KATHY S. KLEPFER, RMR, RPR, CRR, CLR           |
| 25 | JOB NO. 24545                                  |
|    | OOD NO. ZIJIJ                                  |

| Page 2<br>HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK<br>August 31, 2009   | 1  | Page 3  |
|--|--|---|
|  | 1  |   |
|  | 2  | HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK   |
| 9:30 a.m.  | 3  | APPEARANCES:  |
| 7.30 d.m.  | 4  | 711 1 2711(1111, 0 2 5.   |
| HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL deposition   | 5  | JONES DAY, LLP  |
|  | 6  | Attorneys for Lehman Brothers, Inc.   |
|  | 7  | 222 East 41st Street  |
| New York, before Kathy S. Klepfer, a   | 8  | New York, New York 10017-6702   |
| Registered Professional Reporter,  | 9  | BY: ROBERT W. GAFFEY, ESQ.  |
| Registered Merit Reporter, Certified   | 10   | BRIDGET CRAWFORD, ESQ.  |
|  | 11   | GEORGE E. SPENCER, ESQ.   |
|  | 4  |   |
| of New York.   | ì  | BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER, LLP  |
| Parameter and the second secon | 14   | Attorneys for Barclays Capital  |
| And the second s | ĺ  | 5301 Wisconsin Avenue, NW - Suite 800   |
|  | 16   | Washington, DC 20015  |
|  |  | BY: HAMISH HUME, ESQ.   |
|  | Į.   | CATHEL CORPONIA DEPUTE TER  |
|  | ĺ.   | CAHILL, GORDON & REINDEL, LLP   |
|  | 1  | Attorneys for the Witness   |
| 3  | k .  | 80 Pine Street  |
|  |  | New York, New York 10005<br>BY: DAVID N. KELLEY, ESQ.   |
|  | ă.   | JOHN O. ENRIGHT, ESQ.   |
|  | ŧ  | John O. Enkiohi, Esq.   |
|  | n.as 1990innan   | Page 5  |
|  | 1  | HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK   |
|  |  | ALEX KIRK, called as a  |
| 111 1 B 111(11) ( 0 2 B) ( ( 0 0 1 1 1 1 )   |  | witness, having been duly sworn by a Notary   |
| QUINN, EMANUEL, URQUHART, OLIVER & HEDGES, LLP   |  | Public, was examined and testified as   |
|  | 5  | follows:  |
| 51 Madison Avenue  | 6  | EXAMINATION BY  |
| 22nd Floor   | 7  | MR. GAFFEY:   |
| New York, New York 10010   | 8  | Q. Mr. Kirk, good morning. My name is   |
| BY: JAMES C. TECCE, ESQ.   | 9  | Bob Gaffey. We met briefly before. I'm with   |
|  |  | Jones Day. We are special counsel to the estate   |
|  | \$   | of Lehman Brothers Holdings, Inc., and as I   |
|  | 3  | guess you may know, we are spending some time looking into the facts surrounding the  |
|  | Ĭ.   | transaction in September of 2008  |
| _  | \$   | A. Uh-huh.  |
|  |  | Q through which Barclays purchased  |
| HUGHES, HUBBARD & REED, LLP  | 17   | some assets from Lehman.  |
| Attorneys for the SIPA Trustee   | 18   | Just a few ground rules. If at any  |
| One Battery Park Plaza   | 19   | time you need a break, just say so. If there's  |
|  | 20   | a question pending, I would prefer to get an  |
|  |  | answer to it and then we can take break, but  |
|  | 8  | just speak right up if you want to take five or   |
|  | i  | ten minutes.  |
|  | 8  | I'm kind of a fast talker, so if I'm  |
| PHILIP E. KRUSE, Alvarez & Marsal  | ¥5   | going too fast, tell me and I'll try and slow   |
|  | of ALEX KIRK, held at Jones Day, LLP, 222 East 41st Street, LLP, New York, New York, before Kathy S. Klepfer, a Registered Professional Reporter, Registered Merit Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter, Certified Livenote Reporter, and Notary Public of the State of New York.  Page 4  HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK APPEARANCES: (Cont'd.)  QUINN, EMANUEL, URQUHART, OLIVER & HEDGES, LLP Attorneys for the Creditors Committee 51 Madison Avenue 22nd Floor New York, New York 10010 BY: JAMES C. TECCE, ESQ.  JENNER & BLOCK, LLC Attorneys for the Examiner 330 N. Wabash Avenue Chicago, Illinois 60611-7603 BY: DAVID C. LAYDEN, ESQ.  HUGHES, HUBBARD & REED, LLP Attorneys for the SIPA Trustee One Battery Park Plaza New York, New York 10004-1482 BY: SETH D. ROTHMAN, ESQ. SAMUEL C. McCOUBREY, ESQ. | of ALEX KIRK, held at Jones Day, LLP, 222 East 41st Street, LLP, New York, New York, before Kathy S. Klepfer, a Registered Professional Reporter, Registered Merit Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter, Certified Livenote Reporter, and Notary Public of the State of New York.  12 of New York.  13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25  Page 4  HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK APPEARANCES: (Cont'd.)  QUINN, EMANUEL, URQUHART, OLIVER & HEDGES, LLP Attorneys for the Creditors Committee 51 Madison Avenue 22nd Floor New York, New York 10010 BY: JAMES C. TECCE, ESQ.  JENNER & BLOCK, LLC Attorneys for the Examiner 330 N. Wabash Avenue Chicago, Illinois 60611-7603 BY: DAVID C. LAYDEN, ESQ.  HUGHES, HUBBARD & REED, LLP Attorneys for the SIPA Trustee One Battery Park Plaza New York, New York 10004-1482 BY: SETH D. ROTHMAN, ESQ. SAMUEL C. McCOUBREY, ESQ.  23 Also Present: |

|                    | Page 6   |     | Page 7   | ]         |
|--------------------|--|-----|--|-----------|
| 1                  | HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK                    | 1   | HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK                    | 3         |
| 2                  | myself down. And I'm going to ask you please to  | 2   | description of the positions you held?           |           |
| 3                  | wait until there's a full question asked before  | 3   | How long were you at Lehman?                     |           |
| 4                  | you answer so that we can, as best we can, get a | 4 ' | A. I was at Lehman two separate stints.          |           |
| 5                  | clear record.                                    | 5   | I was at Lehman from December of 1994 until      |           |
| 6                  | A. Uh-huh.                                       | 6   | January of 2008.                                 |           |
| 7                  | Q. Okay?   | 7   | Q. Uh-huh.                                       |           |
| 8                  | Did you have discussions with anyone             | 8   | A. And I returned to Lehman in July of           | ***       |
| 9                  | other than your counsel, Mr. Kelley, to prepare  | 9   | 2008. When I went to Lehman Brothers from        | ľ         |
| 10                 |  | 10  | basically July of or, December of 1994 till      | l         |
| 11                 | · ·  | 11  | December 2001, I ran the distressed debt         | ř.        |
| 12                 |  | 12  | business for Lehman Brothers. From 2002 until    |           |
| 13                 |  | 13  | 2006, I ran the high-yield and leveraged loan    |           |
| 14                 |  | 1.4 | business for Lehman Brothers. From 2006 until    | ľ         |
| 15                 |  | 15  | October of 2007, I ran the global credit         | X 2 # 12  |
| 16                 |  | 16  | businesses. From October 2007 until January of   | W         |
| 17                 |  | 17  | '08, I was co-chief operating officer of fixed   |           |
| 18                 | 3 3  | 18  | income, and from and then I left the firm.       | ı         |
| 19                 | <del>-</del> •                                   | 19  | When I returned, I was global head of principal  | b         |
| 20                 |  | 20  | businesses for that brief period of time.        |           |
| 21                 |  | 21  | Q. And why did you leave the firm in             | ľ         |
| 22                 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·            | 22  | January of '08?                                  | 7 23 39 1 |
| 23                 |  | 23  | A. The global head of fixed income, Roger        | 200       |
| 24                 |  | 24  | Nagioff, had resigned; my partner, Andy Morton,  | 200       |
| 25                 |  | 25  | was promoted to head of fixed income; and I      | 3         |
| acamera constatora | Page 8   |     | Page 9   | * ( )     |
| 1                  | HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK                    | 1   | HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK                    |           |
| 2                  | reached a mutual agreement to leave the firm     | 2   | A. I don't remember the transfer date, to        | ĺ         |
| 3                  | with senior with the president of Lehman         | 3   | be honest with you. I worked there till the      | 2         |
| 4                  | Brothers.  | 4   | first week of November.                          | ř         |
| 5                  | Q. And where did you work in between             | 5   | O. First week of November '08?                   | į         |
| 6                  | January of '08 and July of '08 when you returned | 6   | A. Yes.  |           |
| 7                  | to Lehman?                                       | 7   | Q. What positions did you hold at                |           |
| 8                  | A. Didn't work.                                  | 8   | Barclays?  | 362.0     |
| 9                  | Q. And what occasioned your return to            | 9   | A. I didn't have a position at Barclays.         | 900       |
| 10                 |  | 10  | Q. Was there I know it was sort of               | ŀ         |
| 11                 | •  | 11  | tumultuous times. Was there any break in         |           |
| 12                 |  | 12  | between leaving Lehman and going to Barclays, or | *         |
| 13                 |  | 13  | did you just sort of start working at Barclays   | Î         |
| 14                 | elevation.                                       | 14  | at the end of the Lehman                         |           |
| 15                 | Q. And I take it you worked at Lehman            | 15  | A. Whenever the actual HR records                |           |
| 16                 | well, for how long after July of '08 did you     | 16  | transferred.                                     |           |
| 17                 | work at Lehman Brothers?                         | 17  |  |           |
| 18                 | A. Until the end. Until most of the              | 18  |  |           |
| 19                 | employees were transferred to Barclays, U.S.     | 19  |  |           |
| 20                 | employees.                                       | 20  | REDACTED   |           |
| 21                 | Q. And at the end, did you transfer over         | 21  | VENWIEN  | 2000      |
| 22                 | to Barclays yourself?                            | 22  |  |           |
| 23                 | A. Yes.  | 23  |  |           |
| 24                 | Q. And when did you start work at                | 24  |  | ľ         |
|                    | Barclays?  | 25  |  | ľ         |
| 25                 |  | 1   |  | البي      |

| i   | Page 10  |  | Page 11  |
|---|--|--|--|
| 1   |  | 1  | -  |
| 2   |  | 2  |  |
| 3   |  | 3  |  |
|   |  | 4  |  |
| 4   |  | 5  |  |
| 5   |  | •  |  |
| 6   |  | 6  |  |
| 7   |  | 7  |  |
| 8   |  | 8  |  |
| 9   |  | 9  | REDACTED   |
| .0  |  | 10   | VENHEL   |
| 1   |  | 11   |  |
| 2   | REDACTED   | 12   |  |
| 3   | ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** **   | 13   |  |
| 4   |  | 14   |  |
| 5   |  | 15   |  |
| 6   |  | 16   |  |
| 7   |  | 17   |  |
| 8   |  | 18   |  |
| 9   |  | 19   |  |
| 0   |  | 20   |  |
| 1   |  | 21   |  |
| 2   |  | 22   |  |
| 3   |  | 23   | Why did you leave Barclays?  |
| 4   |  | 24   | A. I had because I wanted to leave the   |
| 25  |  | 25   | sell side of the business, broadly, and move to  |
|   | Page 12  | A0000000000000000000000000000000000000       | Page 13  |
| 1   | HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK  | 1  | HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK  |
| 2   | the buy side of the business.  | 2  | If not that week, the week after.  |
| 3   | Q. Could you explain to me what you mean   | 3  | Q. Okay. We're going to spend a lot of   |
| 4   | by that?   | 4  | time today talking about the negotiations on   |
| 5   | A. Meaning I wanted to go work as a  | 5  | that point, so let me take this point to frame   |
| 6   | principal in a hedge fund or a money management  | 6  | out some dates.  |
| 7   | firm.  | 7  | I put before you a blank calendar  |
| 8   | Q. Did you do that?  | 8  | which may help you with days of the week that  |
| 9   | A. I'm in the process of setting up a  | 9  | we'll talk about, but when you talk about the  |
| 0   | firm right now.  | 10   | week in which there were negotiations, could you   |
| 1   | Q. Before you went to work at Barclays,  | 11   | tell me what week or weeks you're talking about?   |
| .2  | before the end of Lehman, had you had any  | 12   | A. The week of September Monday,   |
|   | discussions with anyone at Barclays about the  | 13   | September 15th through Friday, you know, through   |
|   | the state of the s | 11 1   | Sunday, September 21st.  |
| .3  | prospects of working there after the Lehman sale   | 14   |  |
| .3  | · ·  | 14<br>15                                     | Q. And at what point during that week did  |
| .3<br>.4<br>.5                              | prospects of working there after the Lehman sale   | 8  |  |
| .3<br>.4<br>.5                              | prospects of working there after the Lehman sale was concluded?  | 15   | Q. And at what point during that week did Mr. Diamond talk to you about coming to work for Barclays?   |
| .3<br>.4<br>.5<br>.6                        | prospects of working there after the Lehman sale was concluded?  A. I was approached by Bob Diamond to see   | 15<br>16                                     | Q. And at what point during that week did Mr. Diamond talk to you about coming to work for   |
| .3<br>.4<br>.5<br>.6<br>.7                  | prospects of working there after the Lehman sale was concluded?  A. I was approached by Bob Diamond to see if I was interested in a job, broadly, as   | 15<br>16<br>17                               | Q. And at what point during that week did Mr. Diamond talk to you about coming to work for Barclays?   |
| .3<br>.4<br>.5<br>.6<br>.7                  | prospects of working there after the Lehman sale was concluded?  A. I was approached by Bob Diamond to see if I was interested in a job, broadly, as opposed to a specific job. I told him I wasn't.   | 15<br>16<br>17<br>18                         | Q. And at what point during that week did Mr. Diamond talk to you about coming to work for Barclays?  A. I don't remember if it was that week  |
| .3<br>.4<br>.5<br>.6<br>.7<br>.8            | prospects of working there after the Lehman sale was concluded?  A. I was approached by Bob Diamond to see if I was interested in a job, broadly, as opposed to a specific job. I told him I wasn't.  Q. Pardon me?  A. I was not.   | 15<br>16<br>17<br>18                         | Q. And at what point during that week did Mr. Diamond talk to you about coming to work for Barclays?  A. I don't remember if it was that week or the following week.   |
| .3<br>.4<br>.5<br>.6<br>.7<br>.8<br>.9      | prospects of working there after the Lehman sale was concluded?  A. I was approached by Bob Diamond to see if I was interested in a job, broadly, as opposed to a specific job. I told him I wasn't.  Q. Pardon me?  A. I was not.  Q. And when were you approached by Bob   | 15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>0              | <ul> <li>Q. And at what point during that week did</li> <li>Mr. Diamond talk to you about coming to work for</li> <li>Barclays?</li> <li>A. I don't remember if it was that week</li> <li>or the following week.</li> <li>Q. Again, just to give us a time point,</li> </ul>   |
| 3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>20<br>21 | prospects of working there after the Lehman sale was concluded?  A. I was approached by Bob Diamond to see if I was interested in a job, broadly, as opposed to a specific job. I told him I wasn't.  Q. Pardon me?  A. I was not.  Q. And when were you approached by Bob Diamond?  | 15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21       | <ul> <li>Q. And at what point during that week did</li> <li>Mr. Diamond talk to you about coming to work for</li> <li>Barclays?</li> <li>A. I don't remember if it was that week</li> <li>or the following week.</li> <li>Q. Again, just to give us a time point,</li> <li>the transaction we're talking about closed on</li> </ul>  |
| .3<br>.4<br>.5<br>.6<br>.7<br>.8<br>.9      | prospects of working there after the Lehman sale was concluded?  A. I was approached by Bob Diamond to see if I was interested in a job, broadly, as opposed to a specific job. I told him I wasn't.  Q. Pardon me?  A. I was not.  Q. And when were you approached by Bob   | 15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22 | <ul> <li>Q. And at what point during that week did</li> <li>Mr. Diamond talk to you about coming to work for</li> <li>Barclays? <ul> <li>A. I don't remember if it was that week</li> </ul> </li> <li>or the following week.</li> <li>Q. Again, just to give us a time point,</li> <li>the transaction we're talking about closed on</li> <li>September 22. Do you recall if it was before or</li> </ul> |

|          | Page 14  |    | Page 15   |
|----------|--|----|---|
| 1        | HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK                    | 1  |   |
| 2        | conversation?                                    | 2  |   |
| 3        | A. Yes.  | 3  |   |
| 4        | Q. And apart from this conversation with         | 4  | DENAMTEN  |
| 5        | Mr. Diamond, had you had discussions with anyone | 5  | REDACTED  |
| 6        | about going to work for Barclays?                | 6  |   |
| 7        | A. No.   | 7  |   |
| 8        | Q. And when you had the discussion with          | 8  | Q. And what did Mr. McDade say to you?          |
| 9        | Mr. Diamond, did he talk to you about a          | 9  | A. He said he agreed and he would talk to       |
| 10       | compensation package?                            | 10 | Barclays about that. He was on point for those  |
| 11       | A. No.   | 11 | sorts of issues with Barclays.                  |
| 12       | Q. When did you first talk to anyone at          | 12 | Q. Do you know if he did talk to anyone         |
|          |  | 13 |   |
| 13       | Barclays about a compensation package?           | i  | at Barclays about you in that regard?           |
| 14       | A. First conversation I had with anybody         | 14 | A. I assume he did.                             |
| 15       | at Barclays was the meeting I sat down with Rich | 15 | Q. And why do you assume that?                  |
| 16       | Ricci where he told me what the                  | 16 | A. Because they approached me with a            |
| 17       | severance/compensation packet bonus would be,    | 17 | deal  |
| 18       | which was in late October.                       | 18 | Q. Was the conversation                         |
| 19       | Q. Had you had any conversations with any        | 19 | A a couple weeks later.                         |
| 20       | of your fellow Lehman employees about the topic  | 20 | Q. I beg your pardon.                           |
| 21       | of compensation at Barclays?                     | 21 | Was the conversation with Mr. McDade            |
| 22       | A. Bart McDade.                                  | 22 | during the week of the negotiations between the |
| 23       | REDACTED   | 23 | 15th and the 22nd?                              |
| 24       |  | 24 | A. No, it was sometime in late October.         |
| 25       |  | 25 | Q. Did you have conversations with anyone       |
|          | Page 16  |    | Page 17   |
| 1        | HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK                    | 1  |   |
| 2        | at Lehman during that week, the 15th through the | 2  |   |
| 3        | 22nd?  | 3  |   |
| 4        | A. No.   | 4  |   |
| 5        | Q. Let me just put a full question so            | 5  |   |
| 6        | that we have a clear record, okay?               | 6  |   |
| 7        | A. Yeah.   | 7  |   |
| 8        | Q. Did you have conversations with anyone        | 8  |   |
| 9        | during the week of the 15th to the 22nd about    | 9  |   |
| 10       | compensation that would be paid to you at        | 10 |   |
| 10<br>11 | Barclays after the 22nd?                         | 11 |   |
| 12       | A. No.   | 12 |   |
| 13       | A. NO.   | 13 |   |
|          |  | 14 |   |
| 14       |  | 15 |   |
| 15       |  | 16 |   |
| 12       |  | 17 |   |
| ۲ /      | REDACTED   |    |   |
| 18       | WINWILL  | 18 |   |
| 19       |  | 19 |   |
| 20       |  | 20 |   |
| 21       |  | 21 |   |
| 22       |  | 22 |   |
| 23       |  | 23 |   |
| 24       |  | 24 |   |
| 25       |  | 25 |   |

|                 | Page 18  |          | Page 19  |
|-----------------|--|----------|--|
| 1               |  | 1        |  |
| 2               |  | 2        |  |
| 3               |  | 3        |  |
| 4               |  | 4        |  |
| 5               |  | 5        |  |
| 6               |  | 6        |  |
| 7               |  | 7        |  |
| 8               |  | 8        |  |
| 9               |  | 9        |  |
| 10              |  | 10       |  |
| 11              |  | 11       |  |
| 12              |  | 12       | DENACTEN   |
| 13              |  | 13       | REDACTED   |
| 14              |  | 13<br>14 |  |
| 15              |  | 15       |  |
| 16              |  | 16       |  |
| 17              |  | 17       |  |
| 18              |  | 18       |  |
| 19              |  | 19       |  |
| 20              |  | 20       |  |
| 21              |  | 21       |  |
| 22              |  | 22       |  |
| 23              |  | 23       |  |
| 24              |  | 24       |  |
| 25              |  | 25       |  |
| KASE-MANAGEMENT | Page 20  |          | Page 21  |
| 1               |  | 1        | HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK                    |
| 2               |  | 2        | A. Last Thursday.                                |
| 3               |  | 3        | Q. Who was present?                              |
| 4               |  | 4        | A. Mr. Hume and who was the other?               |
| 5               |  | 5        | MR. KELLEY: If you know.                         |
| 6               | REDACTED   | 6        | A. I don't remember that guy's name.             |
| 7               | ***************************************  | 7        | Q. And I take it Mr. Kelley or people            |
| 8               |  | 8        | from his firm were there as well?                |
| 9               |  | 9        | A. Yes.  |
| 10              |  | 10       | Q. Anyone else other than lawyers from           |
| 11              |  | 11       | Mr. Kelley's firm or Mr. Hume's firm?            |
| 12              | BY MR. GAFFEY:   | 12       | A. No.   |
| 13              | 2. 110 //, //11011 // 0 // 11111 // 11111  | 13       | Q. Did you review any documents? Just            |
| 14              | 1 1  | 14,      | answer that yes or no, please.                   |
| 15              | 1  | 15       | A. Yes.  |
| 16              | sime you were employed by bureleys.  | 16       | Q. Did any of those documents have the           |
| 17              | mid imperior of the control of the c | 17       | effect of refreshing your recollection about the |
| 18              |  | 18       | events concerning the sale of assets from Lehman |
| 19              |  | 19       | to Barclays?                                     |
| 20              | 1 01   | 20       | A. Some.   |
| 21              | Q. If you just answer yes or no.   | 21       | Q. Which ones?                                   |
| 22              | ,  | 22       | A. I don't remember specifically.                |
| 23              | 0.5,   | 23       | Q. Are there any that you remember               |
| 24              | <b>E</b>   | 24       | specifically that refreshed your recollection    |
| 25              | Barclays?  | 25       | about matters?                                   |

Page 22 Page 23 1 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK 1 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK 2 A. Not in particular. 2 to senior Lehman officers and as part of 3 3 Q. In general? your investigation of potential claims 4 4 A. Not in -- generally, yes. against Barclays, but the same theory would 5 Q. Did those documents cover a time 5 give rise to claims against the officers and 6 period prior to -- on or prior to September 22? 6 against Barclays. So, yes, I think we do 7 7 MR. KELLEY: I object to that. have a common interest privilege in that we 8 8 MR. GAFFEY: I'm not sure of the both deny those claims. 9 9 nature of the objection, David. MR. GAFFEY: I'll leave that, but I 0 Q. Can you answer it? 10 don't want to have a colloquy on the record. 1 MR. KELLEY: Privileged. 11 I disagree. 2 12 MR. HUME: We would assert the same BY MR. GAFFEY: . 3 13 objection. Q. Let's talk about that week, the week 4 14 MR. GAFFEY: Do you contend you have a in September that's brought us here. Can you 5 privilege with this witness? 15 tell me, sir, as a general matter, did you play 6 16 MR. HUME: I think the objection -- I any role in the negotiations of the agreement 7 assume what you're questioning is to try to 17 between Lehman and Barclays that led to the sale 8 118 attack this privilege. of assets to Barclays. 9 MR. GAFFEY: I'm not attacking the 19 (The witness confers with Mr. Kelley.) 20 privilege. I'm just trying to find out if 20 A. Is the week you're referring to the 21 you have a basis to assert it. 21 15th through the 21st? 22 22 Do you contend you have a privilege? Q. Yes. Well, let me reframe it because 23 23 MR. HUME: Yes, I think that your you asked that question. At any point during 24 motion suggested that there were fiduciary 24 September of 2008, were you involved in any 2.5 breach claims that you were considering as 25 discussions or negotiations with Barclays? Page 25 Page 24 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK 1 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK 1 2 2 A. Yes. Reserve both Saturday and Sunday, so I 3 Q. When? 3 participated in probably two different 4 discussions with Barclays on Saturday and 4 A. I was involved in discussions that 5 5 went from Friday, the 12th of September, until Sunday. 6 Sunday, the 14th, of the transaction that 6 Q. And what was the -- actually, if you 7 7 ultimately failed, and I was asked to don't mind, just so we have some term we can use 8 8 participate in the discussions, facilitate the and I don't have to keep saying it this way, 9 9 discussions starting Friday morning, the 19th. could you give me, you referred to the assets 10 you were responsible for specifically. What 10 Q. So if I understand your answer 11 . 1 correctly, you're not involved in any were those assets called? 12 .2 discussions or negotiations with Barclays in the A. The global principal business. 13 . 3 period from the 15th through the 18th? Q. What was the nature of the transaction 14 4 A. That is correct. that was being discussed from the Friday and the 15 .5 Saturday and the Sunday, the 12th through the Q. Okay. Describe for me, if you would, generally the nature of what you did in 16 14th? 6 .7 17 A. The nature of that transaction was connection with the negotiations from the 12th 18 . 8 Barclays was going to buy all of Lehman to the 14th, that is, from the Friday to the 19 9 Sunday? Brothers. 20 20 Q. Do you know what the structure of that A. Generally, I helped organize the due 21 diligence of the assets of Lehman Brothers that 21 transaction was? Was it an asset purchase? 22 22 Stock purchase? Did you have any sense of that? I was responsible for specifically and helped 23 A. It was a -- I'm not an M&A expert, but 23 coordinate with some of the other departments 24 meetings that would take place with Barclays. 24 I believe they were going to assume the debt and

25

In addition, I was down at the Federal

25

other contractual obligations of Lehman

.0

. 4 . 5

Page 26

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK

Brothers. They were going to not assume the preferred stock of Lehman Brothers and they were going to pay a nominal, less than a dollar, per share price for part of the equity and they were going to spin off the real estate and private equity positions into a new company which would

be capitalized with debt by a consortium of lenders and have as its equity capital the preferred stock of Lehman Brothers and the equity.

h 9

L2

. 6

- Q. And you said that that transaction failed. Why did that transaction fail, do you know?
- A. I was told by Bart McDade that the FSA had turned down the application to close that transaction.
  - Q. When were you told this by Mr. McDade?
  - A. Sunday around noon.
- Q. Now, did you have any role in those negotiations, again I'm on the 12th through the 14th, other than as you described, the sense I'm getting is primarily involved with due diligence for the global principal business.
  - A. Yes, we had -- I spent most of my time

Page 27

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK trying to coordinate due diligence with our principals and the rest of the street, meaning Goldman Sachs, Citigroup, First Boston, et cetera, around the value of those assets which they were going to make a loan to the spun-off company.

- Q. And were Barclays personnel involved in that process?
  - A. Not in the due diligence process, no.
- Q. Were you in touch with Barclays personnel about this due diligence process?
- A. There were some joint meetings that were arranged between Goldman Sachs and Citigroup as point for the street and Barclays and Lehman Brothers together. Barclays personnel were obviously in those meetings.
- Q. To your knowledge, at any point in that period from Friday to Sunday were people from Barclays given an opportunity to review Lehman's books for due diligence purposes?
- A. Yes, I believe they continued to do due diligence over the weekend.
- Q. And did you have any involvement in that project, that process, giving access to

Page 29

Page 28

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK Lehman's books to personnel from Barclays?

- A. I don't recall specifically, but probably. We were down at the Federal Reserve in rooms across the hallway.
- Q. During the period from Friday, the 12th, through Sunday, the 14th, were you dealing with any particular people at Barclays who you could name?
- A. Archibald Cox. Bob Diamond. Rich Ricci. Michael Klein, as their agent.
  - Q. Anyone else?
  - A. Those are the ones I recall.
- Q. And was there a principal -- were there a group of people you would describe as the principal negotiators for Lehman? Again, I'm in the 12th through the 14th.
- A. Mark Shafir, who was head of M&A; Bart McDade, who was president; and via telephone, Dick Fuld.
- Q. Anyone else you would characterize, that you would describe as Lehman's principal negotiators?
- A. I was the advisor. I believe, although I don't know for sure, I don't have any

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK direct knowledge, but I have secondhand knowledge that Skip McGee was involved.

- Q. Anyone else?
- A. That's all I know.
- Q. What's the basis of your secondhand knowledge that McGee was involved?
- A. Mark Shafir would call Skip from the Federal Reserve.
- Q. After that transaction failed, did there come a time when you learned that negotiations had begun again between Barclays and Lehman?
- A. Late Sunday night sometime between 11 and 2 in the morning.
- Q. Would you describe that to me? How did you learn it? Where were you when you learned it?
- A. I believe I was in Bart McDade's office, and he mentioned that Barclays had -- they had had contact with Barclays and Barclays was interested -- "they" meaning Dick himself, Skip and Barclays was interested in pursuing an acquisition of the U.S. businesses of Lehman Brothers.

|         | Page 30  | *************************************** | Page 31  |
|---------|--|---|--|
| 1       | HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK                    | 1                                       | HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK                    |
| 2       | Q. Did he tell you anything more than            | 2                                       | bankruptcy protection?                           |
| 3       | that? Who called who or anything that was said   | 3                                       | A. I don't remember exactly when the firm        |
| 4       | in the conversation?                             | 4                                       | filed, but that's a matter of record, so         |
| 5       | A. No, nothing more than that.                   | 5                                       | Q. Well, do you know, do you remember            |
| 6       | Q. Who else was present when you learned         | 6                                       | when you learned, first learned the firm was     |
| 7       | this from Mr. McDade on Sunday night?            | 7                                       | going to file? Withdrawn.                        |
| 8       | A. I don't recall specifically, but              | 8                                       | Did you know before the firm filed               |
| 9       | probably Mike Gelband.                           | 9                                       | that it was going to do so?                      |
| 10      | -  | 10                                      | A. Yes.  |
| 11      | • •  | 11                                      | Q. When did you learn, first learn that          |
| 12      |  | 12                                      | the firm was going to file?                      |
| 13      | <del>-</del>                                     | 13                                      | A. After the board meeting on Sunday             |
| 14      | Q. Once or twice you've answered by              | 14                                      | night, approximately 8 o'clock.                  |
| 15      |  | 15                                      | Q. Did you attend that board meeting?            |
| 16      |  | 16                                      | A. No.   |
| 17      | have been, tell me when you'd have to speculate, | 17                                      | Q. From whom did you learn the substance         |
| 18      | okay   | 18                                      | of the board meeting?                            |
| 19      | A. Okay.   | 19                                      | A. I don't recall.                               |
| 20      | Q so the record will be clear.                   | 20                                      | Q. And the conversation with Mr. McDade          |
| 21      | So do you know if Mr. Gelband was in             | 21                                      | about renewed negotiations with Barclays, did it |
| 22      | that conversation?                               | 22                                      | take place after the board meeting?              |
| 23      | A. I don't recall.                               | 23                                      | A. Yes.  |
| 24      | Q. Now, do you know if that conversation         | 24                                      | Q. Did Mr. McDade ask you to do anything         |
| 25      | took place before or after Lehman filed for      | 25                                      | in connection with these renewed negotiations?   |
|         | Page 32  |   | Page 33  |
| 1       | HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK                    | 1                                       | HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK                    |
| 2       | A. No.   | 2                                       | A. Sometime Tuesday.                             |
| 3       | Q. Did anyone ask you to do anything in          | 3                                       | Q. From whom did you learn that?                 |
| 4       | connection with these new renewed negotiations?  | 4                                       | A. I don't recall.                               |
| 5       | A. No.   | 5                                       | Q. Did you learn whether that agreement          |
| 6       | Q. Did there come a time when you learned        | 6                                       | was reduced to a writing?                        |
| 7       | the negotiations                                 | 7                                       | A. No.   |
| 8       | A. I'm sorry.                                    | 8                                       | Q. Have you ever seen any the written            |
| 9       | Q. Beg your pardon. Go ahead.                    | 9                                       | agreement, have you ever seen a written          |
| 1.0     | A. No, not that evening.                         | 10                                      | agreement between Lehman and Barclays concerning |
| 11      | Q. Not that evening, okay.                       | 11                                      | the asset sale?                                  |
| 12      | Did come a time where you were asked             | 12                                      | A. No.   |
| 13      | to perform some tasks or do something in         | 13                                      | Q. So you learn on maybe the Tuesday that        |
| L 4<br> | connection with the negotiations?                | 14                                      | there's a deal between Lehman and Barclays, and  |
| 15      | 11. 100.   | 15                                      | then and on late Thursday night you're asked     |
| L6      | Q. And when did that happen?                     | 16                                      | to participate in some way.                      |
| L7      | A. Late Thursday night, the 18th of              | 17                                      | How are you spending your time between           |
| 18      | September.                                       | 18                                      | the Tuesday and Thursday?                        |
| 19      | €, " , 8   | 19                                      | A. I'm spending, between really Sunday           |
| 20      |  | 20                                      | night and Thursday, I was spending all my time   |
| 21      |  | 21                                      | attempting to help coordinate the risk reduction |
| 22      |  | 22                                      | and risk management of the firm so it could      |
| 23      | assets to Barclays?                              | 23                                      | survive for a few days to get to closure.        |
| 24      | A. Yes.  | 24<br>25                                | Q. Now, did those – now I'm in the               |
| 25      | Q. When did you learn that?                      | 23                                      | period from, that you just described, from the   |

| <u> </u> | Page 34  |            | Page 35  |
|----------|--|------------|--|
| 1        | HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK                    | 1          | HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK  |
| 2        | Sunday up until Thursday.                        | 2          | Q. Did you have an understanding of the  |
| 3        | A. Uh-huh.                                       | 3          | asset components that were going to be   |
| 4        | Q. Are you working with any people from          | 4          | purchased?   |
| 5        | Barclays in connection with those activities?    | 5          | A. No.   |
| 6        | A. I don't recall specifically working           | 6          | Q. Did you ever learn what asset   |
| 7        | with Barclays employees. Just Lehman employees.  | 7          | components were going to be purchased?   |
| 8        | Q. Were you in communications with               | 8          | A. Are you specifically asking about the   |
| 9        | Barclays employees?                              | 9          | deal that was struck on that Tuesday?  |
| 10       | A. Not directly. I would have                    | 10         | Q. Yes.  |
| 11       | communicated to our finance staff and they would | 11         | A. No.   |
| 12       | have communicated to Barclays.                   | 12         | No, let me be more specific.   |
| 13       | Q. And who on the finance staff?                 | 13         | Q. Sure.   |
| 14       | A. Ian Lowitt, Paolo Tonucci.                    | 14         | A. I got an e-mail that said they were   |
| 15       | Q. Did you also deal with Martin Kelly?          | 15         | going to purchase the building and a pool of   |
| 16       | A. Maybe once, twice.                            | 16         | other broadly defined assets.  |
| 17       | Q. When you learned about a deal between         | 17         | Q. Who did you get that e-mail from?   |
| 18       | Lehman and Barclays having been concluded, what  | 18         | A. Ajay Nagpal.  |
| 19       | was your understanding of the nature of the      | 19         | Q. Could you spell that so we have it in   |
| 20       | deal?  | 20         | the record, please?  |
| 21       | A. That I was that it was going to be            | 21         | A. A-J-A-Y N-A-G-P-A-L.  |
| 22       | an asset purchase deal and that they were going  | 22         | Q. What understanding did you have of the  |
| 23       | to purchase some amount of assets and assume the | 23         | constituent parts of the pool of the defined   |
| 24       | employees of Lehman, some of the limited         | 24         | assets apart from the building?  |
| 25       | obligations of Lehman Brothers.                  | 25         | A. None.   |
|          | Page 36  |            | Page 37  |
| 1        | HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK                    | 1          | HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK  |
| 2        | Q. Did you have an understanding who the         | 2          | Q. Did you have an understanding of the  |
| 3        | principal negotiators for Lehman of that         | 3          | nature of the liabilities that Barclays was  |
| 4        | transaction were?                                | 4          | going to assume under the agreement?   |
| 5        | A. I understood it to be Mark Shafir,            | 5          | A. Not at the time.  |
| 6        | Skip McGee.                                      | 6          | Q. Did there come a time when you did  |
| 7        | Q. Did Mr. McDade have any role in those         | 7          | gain an understanding of the liabilities   |
| 8        | negotiations, to your understanding?             | 8          | Barclays was going to assume under the   |
| 9        | A. I believe he did.                             | 9          | agreement?   |
| 10       | Q. But you wouldn't describe him as one          | 10         | A. A very cursory understanding on   |
| 11       | of the principal negotiators?                    | 11         | Friday.  |
| 12       | A. He might have been.                           | 12         | Q. That's on Friday, the 19th?   |
| 13       | Q. Do you have a reason to think he might        | 13         | A. Correct.  |
| 14       | have been? Is it that                            | 14         | Q. From whom did you get that  |
| 15       | A. He was the president of the firm.             | 15<br>16   | understanding? A. Paolo Tonucci.   |
| 16       | Q. But other than his title, do you have         | 10<br>17   |  |
| 17       | a basis for thinking he might have been one of   | ê          | Q. What did Mr. Tonucci tell you in that   |
| 18       | the principal negotiators?                       | 18<br>19   | regard?  |
| 19<br>20 | A. No.  O. Did you talk to Shafir about the      | 19<br>20   | A. That there were two categories. One   |
| 20<br>D1 | Q. Did you talk to Shafir about the              | 21         | was assumption of certain trade liabilities and  |
| 21       | negotiations?                                    | 22         | the other was the assumption of compensation liabilities, and that they together totaled |
| 22       | A. No.  O. Did you talk to McCoo about the       | 3          | somewhere over \$4 billion.  |
| 23       | Q. Did you talk to McGee about the               | 23<br>24   |  |
| 24       | negotiations?                                    | 24<br>25   | Q. Did you talk to anyone other than Mr. Tonucci about these assumed liabilities that    |
| 25       | A. No.   | <u>k</u> J | 1711. I ORUCCI ADOUT THESE ASSUMED HADMINES THAT   |

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 38

#### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK totaled somewhere over \$4 billion?

- A. During Friday, the amount of those liabilities were referenced several times by Paolo, who was attempting to accurately estimate them, and by Barclays in their description of the deal later in the afternoon.
- Q. Let's go back to the earlier part of the week. I swear I'm getting to Thursday and Friday.
  - A. That's all right.
- Q. Now I'm still sort of in the early part of the week.

Were you asked to be involved in any assessment of the value of the pool of securities that was to be sold?

A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

. 0

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

. 0

1

.2

. 3

4

. 5

. 6

.7

L 8

9

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Did you ever come to understand that the agreement between Lehman and Barclays included a loss, an overall loss against the amount at which those assets were carried on Lehman's books?
  - A. No.
- Q. Did you ever at any time have an understanding that that agreement involved a

Page 39

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK discount of any kind given to Barclays against the amount shown on Lehman's books of those assets?

- A. No.
- Q. Apart from your counsel and counsel from Mr. Hume's firm, have you spoken to anybody about that topic?
  - A. No.
- Q. When you learned about the sale of a pool of assets, and again, apart from the real estate on Tuesday, did you have an understanding it was to be sold at book value?
- A. I didn't have an understanding one way or the other.
- Q. So on the Monday, the Tuesday, the Wednesday and during the day on Thursday, if I understand what we've talked about so far correctly, you're essentially involved in managing risk?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And the purpose, apart from the inherent reason for doing it --
  - A. Keep the firm funded.
  - Q. Did you have an understanding, while

Page 40

#### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK 1 you were doing that, of how long you needed to 2 3 do that? What the timetable was for things?

A. We knew we were -- I believe they were trying to schedule a meeting with the bankruptcy court on Friday evening, Friday afternoon.

It was really a day-to-day operation.

- Q. And during that day-to-day operation, did any of your activities involve entering into or addressing repurchase agreements, repos?
  - A. Some of them.
- Q. Could you describe that for me? What was the nature of your activities in connection with repos?
- A. The firm had a number, a large number of clients whose assets had been trapped under repurchase agreements in the European subsidiaries, so we spent some time trying to figure out how we were going to help solve those issues. That was a big piece of it.

And then we were also trying to shrink the matched book because it used liquidity at the firm as a way to raise liquidity, so where you would finance client positions with other client's money.

Page 41

#### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK

- Q. Financing of client positions with other client's money; is that what you're talking about when you talk about the matched book?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And by shrinking the matched book, you're reducing that level of activity of --
  - A. Yes.
- Q. -- using --
- A. And you're -- it would -- I was told it would free up liquidity.
- Q. And did you have any involvement, sir, in connection with the Repurchase Agreement that Lehman had with the Fed?
- A. Only -- my only involvement there was I was at the Fed when they told us Sunday evening that they would lend us money to pay back the tri-party repo lenders the following morning.
- Q. And did Lehman enter into a Repurchase Agreement with the Fed for that purpose, do you know?
  - A. Yes, they did.
  - Were any of your activities devoted to

|                | Page 42  |    | Page 43  | ٦ |
|----------------|--|----|--|---|
| 1              | HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK                    | 1  | HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK                    |   |
| 2              | the making of that Repurchase Agreement with the | 2  | participate in those.                            |   |
| 3              | Fed?   | 3  | Q. How did you learn about those                 |   |
| 4              | A. No.   | 4  | activities? From whom?                           | 1 |
| 5              | Q. Did there come a time when the Fed            | 5  | A. I don't recall specifically.                  |   |
| 6              | made it known it wanted to come out of that      | 6  | Q. Do you have any general recollection?         |   |
| 7              | Repurchase Agreement, to your knowledge?         | 7  | A. Probably the finance staff.                   |   |
| 8              | A. Yes.  | 8  | Q. And that would be Tonucci?                    |   |
| 9              | Q. Describe to me how you came to learn          | 9  | A. Ian Lowitt or Tonucci, one or the             |   |
| 10             | that.  | 10 | other.   |   |
| 11             | A. I don't recall specifically who told          | 11 | MR. GAFFEY: Can we take a five-minute            |   |
| 12             | me.  | 12 | break?   |   |
| 13             | Q. As a general matter, tell me what you         | 13 | THE WITNESS: Sure.                               |   |
| 14             | remember about learning that the Fed wanted to   | 14 | (Recess; Time Noted: 10:21 A.M.)                 |   |
| 15             | get out of the Repurchase Agreement with Lehman? | 15 | (Time Noted: 10:29 A.M.)                         |   |
| 16             | A. At some point on Wednesday, the Fed           | 16 | BY MR. GAFFEY:                                   |   |
| 17             | said that they wanted to get paid back, I        | 17 | Q. In a question I asked you a little            |   |
| 18             | believe it was Wednesday, and Lehman had to      | 18 | while ago, Mr. Kirk, you clarified by saying,    |   |
| 19             | figure out how to arrange alternative financing, | 19 | "You mean the agreement made on Tuesday?" Did    |   |
| 20             | and there was only one party that would provide  | 20 | there come a point where you learned that the    |   |
| 21             | that financing and that was Barclays.            | 21 | deal had changed?                                |   |
| 22             | Q. And what did you do in connection with        | 22 | A. Friday.                                       |   |
| 23             | those activities, if anything?                   | 23 | Q. Okay. Here we are. Tell me about              |   |
| 24             | A. I was not a repo expert. I didn't             | 24 | Friday.  |   |
| 25             | I was not I'm not a repo expert. I did not       | 25 | Actually, let me just back up. I                 |   |
| anconsumer was | Page 44  |    | Page 45  | 5 |
| 1              | HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK                    | 1  | HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK                    |   |
| 2              | think you also told me there was a conversation  | 2  | Mr. Shafir's departure                           |   |
| 3              | late on Thursday night that began your Friday    | 3  | A. No.   |   |
| 4              | activities?                                      | 4  | Q on this Thursday?                              |   |
| 5              | A. Yes.  | 5  | A. No.   |   |
| 6              | Q. Okay. Let's talk about that one               | 6  | Q. Did Mr. McDade say anything about the         |   |
| 7              | first. Who's the conversation with, where are    | 7  | departure, Shafir's departure having any impact  |   |
| 8              | you, and what's the content of the conversation? | 8  | on the deal?                                     |   |
| 9              | A. I'm at home. I get a call from Bart           | 9  | A. He said that, given his departure, he         |   |
| 10             | McDade. He informs me that Mark Shafir has left  | 10 | would need extra help and he asked for my help.  |   |
| 11             | Lehman Brothers and that he needs some help      | 11 | Q. What did he ask you to do?                    |   |
| 12             | wrapping up the Barclays deal the following day. | 12 | A. That evening he did not specify what          |   |
| 13             | Q. Had Shafir left on the Thursday?              | 13 | he wanted me to do.                              |   |
| 14             | A. I believe so.                                 | 14 | Q. Tell me what Mr. McDade said and what         |   |
| 15             | Q. You described Shafir as one of the            | 15 | you said in that conversation on Thursday night, |   |
| 16             | principal negotiators. As of the Thursday, to    | 16 | as best you remember.                            |   |
| 17             | your knowledge, has he now gone?                 | 17 | A. He said that Mark Shafir has quit,            |   |
| 18             | A. Yes.  | 18 | gone to Citigroup, I need some help wrapping     |   |
| 19             | Q. Did McDade have anything to say about         | 19 | this up tomorrow, can you help me, I said yes.   |   |
| 20             | that topic?                                      | 20 | Q. That's the entire conversation as you         |   |
| 21             | A. He said he went he quit and he went           | 21 | remember it?                                     |   |
| 22             | to work at Citigroup.                            | 22 | A. Yes.  |   |
| 23             | Q. Other than telling you that Shafir had        | 23 | Q. Did you ask him what he needed you to         |   |
| 24             | quit and gone to work at Citigroup, did          | 24 | do?  |   |
| 25             | Mr. McDade have anything to say about            | 25 | A. I think I asked him, Do you want me to        |   |

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 46

#### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK come in the office tonight? He said no, he was already home. I said, What time do you want me to come in the morning? And he said, you'll get an e-mail about an early morning meeting.

- Q. Did you speak to anyone else that Thursday night about the deal after you spoke to Mr. McDade?
  - A. Not that I recall.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

.0

11

12

13

14

. 5

L 6

17

18

L 9

20

21

2

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

. 0

. 1

.2

. 3

4

5

6

7

. 8

9

20

21

22

23

24

Q. So let's just get through the rest of Thursday night, okay? After you have the conversation with Mr. McDade, he says he needs your help, there will be an early morning meeting.

Did you do anything else with respect to the transaction on the Thursday night?

- A. I don't recall specifically or generally.
- Q. On the Thursday, sir -- I'll show you a document about this in a second -- do you recall reaching out to others in the firm, including Kaushik Amin and Gerald Donini and Eric Felder, to ask them to put together information to -- that would be necessary to portray a fire sale liquidation of the

Page 47

#### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK securities?

- A. I may have done so by e-mail.
- Q. Okay. I'll show you the e-mail, but first, if you don't mind, what's your independent recollection of that, if you have any?
- A. My independent recollection is that I got an e-mail for a scheduled meeting the following day and I got a request, I didn't recall when it was specifically, to help organize a valuation exercise on behalf of Barry Ridings. I didn't recall whether that was Thursday night or Friday morning.
  - Q. And who is Barry Ridings?
- A. A Lazard restructuring banker hired by the firm to testify in bankruptcy court.
  - Q. And who made this request of you?
- A. I don't recall specifically who asked me to do that.
- Q. I'm showing you, Mr. Kirk, what has been marked at a prior deposition as Exhibit 3 an e-mail from you to an address 4955214@archwireless.net. Is that your wireless account?

Page 48

#### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK

- A. I believe we've determined that was a wireless account that was used in the late '90s when there were wireless pagers, if you recall those devices.
  - O. Okay. Uh-huh.
- A. But had been inoperative but still alive in the system.
- Q. Okay. You're about to solve one of the great mysteries of this case.
  - A. Yeah, we had --
- Q. Did you have that account? Are you sending it to your home e-mail?
- A. I don't -- no, this is auto-forwarded by the computers.
  - Q. Okay.
- So like they auto-forward to your BlackBerry, these are things that auto-forward from the --
- Q. You would love it right now if I said I have nothing further, but that wasn't the key question, so let me go to the exhibit that I showed you.
- A. We had to ask ourselves the same question when we saw this.

Page 49

#### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK

Q. Okay. Take a minute to look through what was marked as Exhibit 3, sir. I have a couple questions for you about it.

(Document review.)

- A. Okay.
- Q. In this, who is Daniel Flores, the man from whom the underlying e-mail is sent?
- A. I believe Daniel worked for Mark Shapiro. It's indicated he worked in the restructuring group that was run by a fellow named Mark Shapiro at Lehman.
- Q. And in the e-mail, you see that Mr. Flores recounts, "Alex Kirk suggested we contact each of you to help us understand on a theoretical basis what would happen in a fire sale liquidation of the securities that are being transferred to Barclays as part of the proposed transaction."

Did you have a conversation or communication with Mr. Flores about that topic?

- A. This indicates I must have.
- Q. Apart from seeing it written on this e-mail, do you have any recollection?
  - I don't recall.

Page 50 Page 51 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK 1 1 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK 2 2 Q. Does this e-mail refresh your would have been -- had those delivered early in 3 recollection in any way of a communication with 3 the morning, and then we were attempting to have 4 Mr. Flores about that topic? 4 an 11 o'clock meeting to go over the findings of 5 5 A. Again, I assume that I must have their -- their assumptions and analysis about 6 6 the value of those positions. talked to him. 7 Q. But again, sir, apart from seeing it 7 Q. And did that meeting take place? Yes, it did. 8 on the page in front of you, do you have a basis 8 9 for that assumption? 9 Q. Were you at it? . 0 10 A. No. A. Yes. 1 11 O. You said that that was -- well, let me Q. Who was at the meeting? 2 12 continue down into Mr. Flores' e-mail where he A. Mike Gelband, Kaushik Amin, Charlie 13 talks about, "We will be leaving on your desks a 13 Spero and Gerry Donini, and Daniel Flores was . 4 list of the top 100 positions in each of your 14 there as well as Gerry Reilly. . 5 area's expertise." Did that exercise take 15 Was James Seery there? 6 place, to your knowledge? 16 He might have been. I recall he was A. A. Yes, I believe it did. 17 there. . 8 18 O. You do recall he was there? O. And what was the result of the 19 9 exercise? Was there a fire sale liquidation A. I recall he was there. 20 scenario put together? 2.0 O. Was a determination made about 21 A. So these positions were delivered to 21 liquidation value? 22 each of the recipients of this e-mail, Kaushik 22 A. No. The data had been delivered in --23 23 Amin, Charlie Spero, Eric Felder, Gerry Donini, the position data had been delivered in a way 24 were the various business heads in charge of 24 that, given the short period of time, a couple 25 parts of fixed income or equities, and they 25 hours, the business heads were not able to Page 52 Page 53 1 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK 1 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK 2 2 A. I vaguely recall having a conversation determine in any comprehensive way the values. 3 3 with him. So we adjourned the meeting with the -- with a 4 plan that Barry Ridings would talk to each of 4 Q. Let's go to the early morning of 5 5 Friday, the 19th. You spoke a moment ago about these individuals separately closer to the end 6 getting a call from Mr. McDade. Shafir's quit. 6 of the day when they might have a better sense. 7 7 He asked for your help, can you come to meet Q. Do you know if Mr. Ridings did that? 8 8 with him in the morning. Did you do that? A. I don't know that, 9 Q. Did he speak to you at all? 9 Yes. 10 .0 A. Not about this topic. I saw him later Q. And where was the meeting? 11 A. It was a -- I believe it was in my 1 in the day in a meeting. 12 12 Q. Let me just back up a little bit. Did office. 13 13 Ridings know you were organizing this project? Q. In your office, sir? 4 14 Yes. A. 15 Q. Would he have known you're the contact 15 O. Who was in attendance? guy on it? 16 A. Ian Lowitt, Chris O'Meara, Gerry 6 17 Reilly, Paolo Tonucci. I think that was it. A. Yes. I probably called him and told 18 8 him call these people directly. Q. Was Mr. McDade there? 19 A. I don't believe, no, I don't believe 9 Q. Did you have any conversations that 20 you recall with Mr. Ridings about the need for a 20 he was there. 21 Q. Now, in your conversation with 21 liquidation scenario to be analyzed? 22 Mr. McDade the night before, he had told you 22 A. I assume he clarified the reasoning as 23 Shafir quit, he told you he needed your help, 23 a test for the court. 24 you offered to come in, he said come in in the 24 Q. When you say you assume that, what's the basis of that assumption? 25 morning, if I remember your testimony right, and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 54

# HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK that's not what governs, that's basically the topics you covered with McDade on Thursday night.

So here you are in a meeting with Lowitt, O'Meara, Reilly and Tonucci. Do you learn more at the meeting about the nature of the help that you're going to have to give?

- A. They broadly outlined the first transaction. That was a quick summary. Then we discussed an issue that had come up earlier that morning around JPMorgan as our clearing bank shutting down Lehman's DTC account and what effect that would have on the transaction as planned.
- Q. Now, you referred to -- you said they broadly outlined the first transaction. By the Friday morning, is it your understanding there's a second transaction, a subsequent transaction?
  - A. By the time we had this meeting --
  - O. Uh-huh.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

0

L1

12

.3

4

.5

6

17

1.8

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

LO

1

.2

13

4

.5

16

.7

8

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

A. -- it was my view, my opinion, that there would have to be a reworking of the transaction because a vast majority of those securities that had been planned for transfer Page 55

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK were held at JPMorgan. There was a -- and JPMorgan had a dispute of some sort about the transfer of the repo with Barclays, which was described to me by Mike Keegan, and in addition to that, they shut down Lehman's -- they closed down Lehman's DTC account, which led me to believe that JPMorgan would not cooperate and transfer the aforementioned securities to Barclays on that Friday.

- Q. When had you spoken to Mike Keegan?
- A. I got up and I went to the office about 5 A.M. and I ran into him about 5:30 in the morning.
  - Q. Had you met Mr. Keegan before?
- A. I had met him the week before during the due diligence process.
- Q. So you say "they," that's some combination of Lowitt, O'Meara, Reilly and Tonucci, broadly outlined the first transaction to give you a quick summary?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. How did they summarize -- tell me what you remember about their broad outline of the first transaction, the quick summary that they

Page 56

Page 57

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK gave you.

A. They summarized it as a purchase of the building, purchase of assets, and an assumption of this 4 billion, 4 and a quarter billion dollars in liabilities.

That discussion ended very quickly because of my belief that that transaction, given what had just transpired -- what I had learned from Keegan and the action that JPMorgan had taken, that I believe that they would act as a hostile party towards the closing of this transaction and that whatever had taken place before was irrelevant.

- Q. Did Mr. Tonucci tell you anything other than there was an assumption of liabilities in an approximate amount of 4 and a quarter billion for compensation of payables?
  - A. No.
- Q. Did you have any knowledge as to how that number came to be determined?
- A. No. I was not concerned with that part of the transaction.
- Q. I'm sort of away from the Friday meeting for a moment.

#### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK

- A. No.
- Q. At any point did you come to an understanding as to how those, those components of assumed liabilities came to be calculated?
  - A. No. No.
- Q. When the transaction, the first transaction was outlined to you by Tonucci, O'Meara, Reilly and Lowitt, or some combination, did you have an understanding as to where the assets would come from to fund those assumed liabilities?
- A. I believe there was a schedule, one-page schedule, which I think you have, that broadly gave an asset and liability balance sheet.
  - Q. Have you ever seen that schedule?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. When did you first see that schedule?
- A. I believe it was that morning. It was
- Q. Apart from the schedule, did you look at any other documents that morning?
  - A. No.
  - Q. I'm putting before you what previously

| 1 MICHAY CONFIDENTIAL A RIBER 1 MICHAY CON                                 |                               |
|--|-------------------------------|
| 1 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK 1 HIGHLY CON                               | NFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK          |
| 1  | ST borrowings, government     |
| 3 A. Yes, that's the schedule. 3 and agencies?                             |                               |
| 4 Q. And the schedule that you saw that 4 A. Yes.                          |                               |
| I • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •                                    | at add up to 33.9?            |
|  | tion, there's the 34.5        |
| 7 A. Uh-huh. 7 below that.   |                               |
| 8 Q. Do you remember that? 8 Q. For collatera                              | nlized short-term funding,    |
| 9 A. Yes. 9 right?   | _                             |
| Q. Okay. Who gave you the schedule? 40 A. Yes.                             |                               |
| l  | ı have an understanding       |
|  | wn this schedule of where the |
|  | rom where the values for      |
| 14 Q. Did anybody tell you anything about $14$ assets were derived:        | ?                             |
|  | We quickly moved on           |
| A. They briefly described it as an asset 16 from this.                     |                               |
|  | · described the schedule to   |
|  | ou any description of the     |
|  | t schedule played in the      |
| that they were going to assume, including 20 first transaction?            |                               |
|  | ed it as the template for     |
| cure payment and comp. 22 the first transaction.                           |                               |
|  | know who put together the     |
|  | he template for the first     |
| describing the liabilities opposite the 25 transaction? Did th             |                               |
| Page 60  | Page 61                       |
| 1 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK 1 HIGHLY CONF                              | FIDENTIAL - A. KIRK           |
|  | n executives, Bart and Dick,  |
| 3 Q. Did you ask? 3 of this problem.                                       | ,                             |
| 1  | nfers with the witness.)      |
| 5 Q. Now, present at the meeting was 5 A. I'm sorry, just                  |                               |
|  | being problem this            |
|  | blematic was agreed to.       |
| 8 A. I don't recall. 8 The basis for that wor                              |                               |
|  | itt and Paolo Tonucci in      |
| Friday morning meeting by anybody from Barclays? 10 that meeting.          |                               |
|  | and it, your primary          |
| Q. So they broadly outlined the first 12 activities for the wee            | . –                           |
|  | d been in risk management     |
| 14 JPM, one of them or some combination of them. 14 A. Uh-huh.             | -                             |
| What happens next in that meeting? 15 Q had been in                        | ı shrinking the matched       |
|  | rm alive so that the Friday   |
| I  | ossibility of succeeding, is  |
| process that I have been asked to follow up on 18 that a fair summary      | ?                             |
| for this 11 o'clock meeting and that I'm going 19 A. Yes.                  |                               |
| 20 to try to arrange a meeting with the senior 20 Q. And you're ca         | alled into this meeting on    |
|  | told that the transaction     |
| 22 my view was, that this transaction as outlined 22 that's been on the ta |                               |
|  | this problem. This may seem   |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·                                      | , but why are you now the     |
| 24 they agreed with. 24 an odd question, sir,                              | but may are you non the       |

|          | Page 62  |          | Page 63  | ]    |
|----------|--|----------|--|------|
| 1        | HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK                    | 1        | HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK  | 2000 |
| 2        | management? Did you have an understanding of     | 2        | exactly, Fuld, most likely, that the assets and  |      |
| 3        | why you're the lucky winner of that              | 3        | liabilities that had been assumed to be  |      |
| 4        | responsibility?                                  | 4        | transferred in the first transaction were all  |      |
| 5        | A. Because I volunteered. Yeah, I                | 5        | held in custody or had to be cleared through   |      |
| 6        | Q. Did you have                                  | 6        | JPMorgan, and because JPMorgan had taken this  | 2000 |
| 7        | A. Believe me, I almost had a heart              | 7        | hostile action, there was a dispute, which I   |      |
| 8        | attack just thinking about that.                 | 8        | didn't understand the exact nature of, with the  |      |
| 9        | (Mr. Kelley confers with the witness.)           | 9        | transfer of collateral between Barclays and  |      |
| 10       | · ·  | 10       | between the Fed through JPMorgan to Barclays.  |      |
| 11       |  |          | I knew at a very high level there was  |      |
| 12       |  | 12       | a dispute between the two firms as to what   |      |
| 13       | 1 3  | 13       | collateral was accept what collateral was  |      |
| 14       |  | 14       | transferred and what collateral was left at  |      |
| 15       | r  | 15       | JPMorgan, and I knew that JPMorgan had shut down   |      |
| 16       |  | 16       | Lehman's DTC account and failed all the  |      |
| 17       | 11. 211  | 17       | settlements on that Friday, and a combination of   |      |
| 18       | C  | 18       | those two pieces of information led me to  |      |
| 19       |  | 19       | believe that JPMorgan wouldn't transfer these  |      |
| 20       | ·  | 20       | assets on this schedule and liabilities, both  |      |
| 1        | <b>2</b>   | 21       | sides, in any normal course.   |      |
| 21<br>22 | <i>y</i> ,                                       | 22       | Q. And when you reported this to McDade,   |      |
| 23       | J J  | 23       | you said withdrawn. You said Fuld was there,   |      |
| 24       | else was in the meeting exactly, but I walked    | 24       | most likely.   |      |
| 25<br>25 |  | 25       | Do you have a recollection of him  |      |
| <u> </u> |  |          |  | -    |
|          | Page 64  |          | Page 65  |      |
| 1        | HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK                    | 1        | HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK  |      |
| 2        | being there, or are you assuming again, because  | 2        | Q. Anyone else?  |      |
| 3        | of his role, his title, that he might have been? | 3        | A. Ian was there as well, I'm pretty   |      |
| 4        | A. Yes, I'm assuming because of his title        | 4        | sure.  |      |
| 5        | he might have been.                              | 5        | Q. Was Tonucci there?  | i    |
| 6        | Q. But you do recall talking to McDade           | 6        | A. I don't remember.   |      |
| 7        | about it?  | /        | Q. Do you recall whether or not with   |      |
| 8        | A. Yes.  | 8        | regard to which ones there were, do you recall a   |      |
| 9        | Q. Do you recall anyone else other than          | 9        | bigger meeting than that, or is this the   |      |
| 10       | McDade to whom you spoke?                        | 10       | assembly of people that you remember?  A. It was an assembly of no more than ten                     |      |
| 11       | A. No.   | 11       | <u>-</u>   |      |
| 12       | Q. And what did Mr. McDade say?                  | 12<br>13 | people total.  MR. GAFFEY: Can we go off the record  |      |
| 13       | A. He said we should have a sit-down with        | 14       | for a minute?  |      |
| 14       | the Barclays senior team and we should explain   | 15       | (Discussion off the record.)   |      |
| 15       | our point of view on this ASAP.                  | 16       | (Recess; Time Noted: 10:59 A.M.)   |      |
| 16       | Q. And what happened next?                       | 17       | (Time Noted: 11:09 A.M.)   |      |
| 17       | A. We had a meeting with Bart, myself,           | 18       | BY MR. GAFFEY:   |      |
| 18       | and then it was Michael Klein, Rich Ricci, and   | μο<br>19 | Q. We were, before the break, we were at   |      |
| 19       | Mike Keegan from Barclays.                       | 20       | this Friday morning meeting, and so I want to go   |      |
| 20       | Q. Where was the meeting?                        | 21       | this Friday morning meeting, and so I want to go<br>through in as much detail as I can what happened |      |
| 21       | A. It was in an office on 31 that                | 21<br>22 | at that meeting and who said what.   |      |
| 22       | Barclays was using as temporary space.           | 1        |  |      |
| 23       | Q. And who's there from the Lehman side          | 23       | I have a general sense that the JPM  |      |
| 24       | of the table?                                    | 24       | problem has arisen. Did you have a sense, was there any discussion about the JPM problem being       |      |
| 25       | A. Bart and I were there.                        | 25       | there any discussion about the Jrwi problem being  | 7    |

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

. 8

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 66

#### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK related in any way to the Repurchase Agreement with Barclays?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

.0

11

12

13

14

. 5

6

17

1.8

9

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

0

11

12

13

4

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

#### Q. Describe that for me. What was your understanding?

A. So, to be clear, I'm not an expert, was not an expert on repo, so I was learning things for the first time that day that I didn't understand how they actually worked prior to that. So I got what was a cursory as opposed to a detailed explanation of the issue, but as I understood it from the way that Mike Keegan explained it to me was that the Fed had been providing a repo for Lehman Brothers earlier in the week of approximately \$50 billion, that the Fed had made it known that they wanted to be repaid on that repo, and that Barclays had agreed to assume that repo obligation from the Fed. Without that financing the firm would have collapsed the next morning.

So the way it was explained to me was, during the transfer of those -- that loan and the collateral associated with that loan, there were many pieces of collateral that Barclays

Page 67

#### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK could not value, so they did not accept them in transfer from the Fed. And mechanically, it was explained to me the way that worked was, in a tri-party repo, the Fed transferred all of the positions to JPMorgan and then JPMorgan began transferring those positions upon the receipt of money from Barclays transferred money, and then they would transfer the positions that secured that repo.

And at some point during that process, Barclays became very uncertain as to some percentage of that collateral, I don't recall the exact amount, but it was a large number, maybe as much as, you know, 20 percent of the collateral, and when Barclays didn't accept those positions, they, by definition, just got left at JPMorgan.

They -- so JPMorgan was left with collateral that they were not comfortable with but Barclays would not accept, so -- and JPMorgan, I guess they attempted to negotiate but couldn't get that negotiation done.

Q. Who was the "they" who attempted to negotiate?

Page 68

Page 69

#### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK

That JPMorgan and Barclays attempted to negotiate, but they couldn't complete a negotiation for a transfer of that collateral.

Q. And when you say Keegan's explanation, I take it you're talking about the conversation you had with Keegan before the meeting?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay.

The 5:30 in the morning.

Q. And did Keegan give you any level of detail about why Barclays was uncertain about some percentage of that collateral?

A. It was collateral that they didn't -was either they didn't have the expertise to value or was not transparent, meaning that there were financing vehicles that Lehman set up that went into the repo that you couldn't look through to what was in those financing vehicles.

Q. And did Mr. Keegan give you any information about what that collateral was, what type of securities?

A. He didn't know.

Well ---

In some cases he didn't know. In

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK other cases it was, yes, it was illiquid and either high-yield or defaulted or consumer mortgage securities that were the ones he could identify that were very hard to value.

Q. I'm hearing this in two categories, and I want to be sure you and I are on the same page. There's a component of this collateral that's hard to value and there's a component that is not transparent, which may also make it hard to value --

A. Yes.

Q. - but some of it's transparent and hard to value?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. Did he identify any particular categories of hard-to-value securities? I get it, high-yield or mortgage-backed, but did he --

A. Distressed.

Q. Did he use the term "racers" at all?

A. That was the non-transparent category.

Okay. Tell me what Mr. Keegan said to Q. you about racers.

"What are they?" A.

Anything else?

Page 70 Page 71 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK 1 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK 2 "And what's in them?" I said, "I 2 sum or substance, whether he had spoken to 3 3 don't know." I then went and -- Paolo Tonucci, others at Lehman about this problem by the time I directed him to Paolo, who would be able to 4 4 he had spoke to you? 5 tell him what was in those various financing 5 A. I understood I was the first person he 6 vehicles. 6 had explained it to, at Lehman that he had 7 7 Q. Do you know what Paolo Tonucci then explained it to. 8 8 spoke to Mr. Keegan about? Q. When you spoke to him and about this 9 9 A. I don't know. topic, did you let him know that there was a LΟ Q. Did Mr. Keegan say anything else about 10 Friday meeting planned with -- that you had been 11 racers other than he didn't know what they were? 11 asked to come to an early morning meeting by 12 12 A. He asked how would I find out. Mr. McDade? 13 13 Q. Did Mr. Keegan say anything to you A. Yes. that, in sum or substance, compared the assets 14 Q. And did you tell him you'd get back to 15 15 that Barclays had agreed to buy in the first him after that meeting to see if there was a 16 16 solution to this problem? transaction, to use your term, and the assets <u>l</u>17 17 A. Yes. that were in the repo that were the subject of 18 18 this transfer problem? Q. So, in the course of that meeting, now 19 19 we've got the JPM issue, it's been identified, A. He summarized it as it was a very 20 different and riskier category of assets. 20 you've had the first transaction outlined to you 21 broadly, the JPM problem --21 Q. Was anyone else present when 22 22 Mr. Keegan and you had this early morning A. Right. 23 23 Q. -- has been also described. What conversation? A. No. 24 24 happens next? 25 25 Q. Did you understand from Mr. Keegan, in A. I'm sorry, so where -- what time of Page 73 Page 72 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK 1 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK 1 2 2 day are we now? A. There was some question as to, well, 3 3 what do we do now? I suggested that the only Q. I'm sorry. I've got you back at the 4 reasonable course of action would be to proceed 4 Friday meeting with -- we're back at the Friday 5 meeting with Bart, yourself, Klein --5 with the transaction substituting the repo 6 assets, the assets that Barclays had lent 6 A. Okav. 7 7 against, for all the other securities that had -- Ricci and Keegan. 8 8 been contemplated in the transaction and leave A. Yeah. 9 the rest of the transaction as was. 9 O. Okav. 10 Q. So if I understand this -- I want to 0 So at that meeting I walk through -- I summarized the issues, as I understand them, for 11 make sure I understand this correctly. Your . 1 12 suggestion was to take the assets that were the this dispute with Barclays. I inform Barclays .2 13 subject of the first transaction roughly L3 that those executives -- that JPMorgan had shut 4 14 outlined in that schedule? down Lehman's DTC account, and I made the 15 . 5 supposition that that would make it impossible A. Yeah. 16 Q. And instead of transferring that body 6 to complete the transaction as contemplated. 117 of assets, transfer the body of assets that are Q. And what, if anything, did Mr. McDade 18 in the repo, correct? 18 have to say about those topics? 19 19 A. Yes. A. He said he agreed with me. 20 Q. Maybe I'm not understanding. Is the 20 Q. Did you speak first in outlining the 21 problem here, doesn't it involve the assets that 21 issues? 22 are in the repo? Hasn't Mr. Keegan told you 22 A. Yes. 23 that Barclays is uncertain about some components 23 Q. And how did Klein, Ricci and Keegan or any combination of those three men react to that 24 of the repo collateral? 24

25

news?

So, again, the issue was that it was

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

6

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 74

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK my view that JPMorgan would not transfer one dollar of one asset unless they got whatever they wanted in a negotiation from Barclays or anybody else, and even then they wouldn't -- it didn't appear that they would do anything but be hostile, having shut down our DTC account, which is a, I mean, that's a colossal nightmare. You know, you've got tens of billions of dollars of securities supposed to settle a regular way that you've been transacting with your clients, and every single one of them fails -- both sides, buys and sells.

#### Q. How is JPM in a position to shut down Lehman's?

A. They're our clearing bank.

So it was, again, my supposition, which was confirmed by, you know, the senior finance staff and Bart and then finally the Barclays guys, that, you know, JPMorgan should be viewed as not going to cooperate. And Barclays was attempting to reach JPMorgan and never got a return call, was my understanding. I was told that by probably Gerard LaRocca or Keegan, one of them, and hence, you know, the

Page 75

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK only assets that could participate in any way in this transfer were ones that Barclays had held in custody at their clearing bank, Bank of New York, and potentially any assets at Lehman that were unencumbered and were held away from JPMorgan.

Q. So, again, forgive me if I'm thick here, but is the problem with JPMorgan -withdrawn.

When you talk about the assets that Barclays had at Bank of New York, those were assets within the repo?

- A. Yes.
- 0. Within the Barclays repo, yes?
- Q. Do you know the amount, the value of the assets that were at Bank of New York within the Barclays repo?
- A. I didn't know that. I didn't know the amount or the value of those assets.
- Q. Okay. And again, so we're clear, you didn't know at the time or you don't remember now, or both?
  - A. I didn't know at the time.

Page 76

#### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK

- Q. Okay. And the problem with JPM refusing to transfer assets over, is that away from the repo?
  - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

.0

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

L O

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Okay. So your suggestion is to focus on the repo as the body of assets that can be transferred to Barclays?
  - A. Right.
- O. Plus unencumbered, other unencumbered assets?
- A. That were not held or cleared through JPMorgan.
  - Q. That are away from JPMorgan?
  - A. Correct.
  - Q. Now, did you have an idea then of what the value of that total package could be?
    - A. No.
    - Q. So you've made --
- A. Because I wasn't clear on what actually got transferred and what didn't get transferred. I knew broadly the size of the Fed repo. I didn't know what the disputed amount was or the assets.
  - Q. I just need to follow up a bit on the

Page 77

#### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK disputed amount.

- A. Yeah.
- Q. I'm trying to be as clear as I can --
- A. Yeah.
- Q. as to what's the JPM problem and how much of that is --
  - A. Right.
- Q. -- whether that's -- we've established the JPM problem is away from the repo, right?
- A. The JPM problem vis-a-vis Lehman Brothers was away from the repo, that is correct.

#### Q. But vis-a-vis Barclays, it was not away from the repo?

- A. My understanding was there was a dispute about Barclays not accepting all the collateral out of the Fed, only some of it, and that collateral they didn't accept got left behind or, by definition, stays at the clearing bank, which was JPMorgan. JPMorgan clears for the Fed.
- Q. And I think you had an -- a rough idea of what percentage of that. It was some percentage. It could have been as much as 20

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

0

11

12

3

14

5

6

17

18

9

2.0

**D**1

22

23

24

2.5

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

l 7

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 78

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK percent that Barclays would not accept, yes?

A Yes

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

0

1

2

. 3

4

.5

6

17

. 8

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

. 0

11

L 2

13

4

.5

L 6

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Did you have a sense of a dollar amount of what was net of the amount that Barclays would not accept?
  - A. It was somewhere in the 40s.
- Q. And when you're talking about the amount of the repo, are you talking about the amount that was financed or the total collateral as pledged? Withdrawn.

You told me you're not an expert in repos --

- A. Yeah.
- Q. -- and neither am I, but I understand there's a haircut.
- A. Yeah, I think I was talking about the amount financed, but I'm not -- I'm sketchy on that.
- Q. So you make this proposal. McDade says he thinks you're right. What's the reaction from Klein, Ricci and Keegan?
- A. Ricci says -- there was some discussion, I don't recall the specifics of it, but Ricci then I recall specifically says, I

Page 79

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK believe he's right. We have to change course.

- Q. I know it's a long time ago, but just given that phrase, is that are you quoting him? Are you summarizing him?
- A. I'm summarizing him. I was under a tremendous amount of pressure and stress, so my memory is a little fuzzy from that.
  - Q. Sure.
- A. At one point in this meeting, Mike Klein looked at me and said, "Do you need a doctor?"
  - Q. Really?
  - A. Yeah.
- Q. Well, you're kind of a last-minute volunteer in this thing, you know?
  - A. Yeah. Story of my life.
  - O. What did Klein say?
  - A. He said, okay, let's get to it.
  - Q. And did Keegan say anything?

A. He said, well, we haven't analyzed this collateral so we don't know what it's worth. How are we going to figure out what it's worth? And we said we had started a process with these large positions.

Page 80

Page 81

#### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK

The problem turned out to be not only was the data delivered in a not usable fashion to the heads of the desk, but it was a different set of securities. So we had to -- it was determined that the finance staff of Lehman Brothers needed to work with the finance staff at Barclays and get a list of everything that was in their repo line, and then take that off the systems and try to put it together in a way that could be delivered to the various trading desks to try to put some value on it.

- Q. When you referred before to, you know, we had started that process, was that a -- were you referring --
  - A. From the night of the --
  - O. Yes.
  - A. -- Daniel Flores' e-mail.
- Q. That's the one that refers to trying to come up with fire-sale-type prices?
  - A. Yes.

Q. What in your mind was the connection between the, what I'll call the fire sale analysis and the problem you were dealing with on Friday? I'm not sure I'm clear on that.

#### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK

A. Only that we had -- that I could tell the Barclays guys that we were already trying to value some collateral and relative to the marks. The -- Lehman suffered, you know, two issues that week around valuations. One was the markets were unbelievably volatile and incredibly illiquid, and that we were a less than desirable counterparty for our -- so that we had been, when we had been liquidating collateral, we had been losing a lot of money, and in addition to that, a smaller problem was, you know, since the firm had filed for bankruptcy, not every person was showing up to work.

- Q. Now, the fire sale liquidation analysis, the top 100 positions there, did you -- are you saying that some of those might have been in the repo?
  - A. Yeah, but we didn't know which ones.
  - O. You didn't know?
  - A. We had no idea.
- Q. That's not, so we're clear, the 100 positions we're talking about there is not with direct reference to what was in the repo?

Page 82

#### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK

A. No, not at all. We didn't know we had this problem Thursday night.

L2

L3

Ĺ4

. 0

- Q. What's the tone of the Friday meeting? I know it's tense and Klein says do you need a doctor, but are people angry? Are they calm? Are they -- what's the temperature in this meeting?
- A. Anxious. It's very, very anxious. How are we going to be able to try to get anything over the goal line by 4 o'clock this afternoon, and if we don't, you we don't believe we can survive the weekend.
- Q. And why 4 o'clock that afternoon? Was that the bankruptcy hearing?
- A. Yeah, that was the bankruptcy hearing, the scheduled bankruptcy hearing.
- Q. Is there any discussion in this meeting about what, if anything, needs to be said to the bankruptcy court about this event, these events?
- A. That it would have to be explained. This transaction was very different than what had been previewed two days before, and it would have to be explained why it came up.

Page 83

#### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK

- Q. Who said it would have to be explained?
- A. Barry -- in that meeting -- I apologize, some of these meetings are blurs.
  - O. Sure.
- A. But at some point during the day, Barry Ridings, I was in a meeting with him, I believe it was maybe at the tail-end of this meeting, he came in and, you know, he listened to this explanation again and then he said, okay, we're going to have to be able to explain this.
- Q. And did the Barclays folks in the meeting and by that, I'm including Klein here, Klein, Ricci, Keegan did they have anything to say about whether and when this would have to be explained to the court?
  - A. No, they were taking Barry's lead.
  - Q. Now --
- A. We all knew that there was a court hearing scheduled at 4 o'clock.
- Q. Why do you describe this as a very different agreement?
  - A. The list of assets is different.

Page 84

Page 85

#### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK

- Q. Was there any discussion about the consideration Barclays was to give in the agreement also changing?
  - A. Besides the amount, no.
  - O. Well --
- A. Meaning besides the fact that it wasn't 72 million, you know, the attempt was to get it so that the assets and liabilities would balance.
- Q. And the 72 million, you're looking at Exhibit 19, yeah?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. That financial schedule.

Was there any discussion of the compensation and cure components of the assumed liabilities changing?

- A. Not at that meeting.
- Q. Did you at some point hear a discussion about the compensation and cure components changing?
- A. At some point late in the day, there was a -- not on the compensation, there was no discussion of compensation. Ian -- not Ian, Paolo was attempting to figure out a better

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK estimate. I think they had always continually worked on it, but they were trying to figure a better estimate.

Ian -- I remember Paolo coming into a meeting I was in, I don't remember who it was with, but he came in and said I'm working through the cure payments to try to see if there's some wiggle room there, so to speak, in terms of what is that estimate. I never got a -- I was -- that was not part of the transaction I was concerning myself with. I was supposed to try to shepherd along as best as possible in this incredible short timeframe some valuation work that we could get to on the assets.

- Q. Wiggle room up or wiggle room down, or both?
- A. I don't -- he didn't mention it one way or another. Some variance I guess is a better way to put it. I don't remember whether it was higher or lower.
- Q. In the Friday meeting with McDade and you and Klein and Ricci and Keegan, was there any discussion about Lehman defaulting on the

| Page 86   | Page 87  |
|---|--|
| 1 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK   | 1 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK                    |
| 2 repo?   | 2 meeting of terminating the repo?                 |
| 3 A. No.  | 3 A. I recall a discussion, I don't                |
| 4 Q. Were you ever involved in any                                      | 4 remember who was in the meeting, but with        |
| 5 discussion concerning the topic of Lehman                             | 5 Barclays that if we couldn't get to closure that |
| 6 defaulting on the repo?   | 6 day  |
| 7 A. Not a discussion. I received an                                    | 7 Q. That Friday?                                  |
| 8 e-mail that referenced it, but  | 8 A. That Friday.                                  |
| 9 Q. From whom did you receive the e-mail?                              | 9 Q. Okay.   |
|   | 10 A it was likely they would terminate            |
| You've got it, Gerry Reilly. That was                                   | 11 the repo.                                       |
|   | 12 Q. Do you know if at any point Barclays         |
| I didn't pay attention to it.   | 13 did terminate the repo?                         |
| 1 4   | 1.4 A. I don't know the answer to that.            |
|   | Q. When the Barclays folks said if they            |
| best way to deliver the bulk discount to                                | 6 couldn't get to closure on Friday, they would    |
| Barclays?   | have to terminate the repo, was there any          |
| A. I would have to look at it again.                                    | 18 reaction from the Lehman folks to that          |
| Q. We'll get to that a little later.                                    | 19 statement?                                      |
| 20 A. Fine.   | A. We understood they had to do what was           |
|   | within their rights and what they felt was         |
|   | 22 appropriate.                                    |
|   | 23 Q. Who said that?                               |
|   | 24 A. McDade.                                      |
|   | Q. I'm going to show you, Mr. Kirk,                |
| Page 88   | Page 89  |
| 1 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK   | 1 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK                    |
| what's previously been marked as Exhibit 21.                            | 2 Q. Okay. So the first paragraph of               |
| 3 Take a moment to look through the document.                           | 3 Mr. Reilly's e-mail refers to the auction rate   |
| 4 (Document review.)  | 4 book?  |
| 5 A. Okay.  | 5 A. Yeah.   |
| 6 Q. Is that the document, the e-mail you                               | 6 Q. And the question appears to be whether        |
| 7 referred to a moment ago?   | 7 it's staying or going in the transaction, yes?   |
| 8 A. Yes.   | 8 A. Right.  |
| 9 Q. At the very bottom of the document,                                | 9 Q. And was it your understanding that it         |
| paragraph 3, and this is within the e-mail from                         | 10 was that first question that was the reason it  |
| Reilly to Lowitt, Gelband, Tonucci and Kelly, is                        | was forwarded to you, because you're in the ARS    |
|   | world?   |
| discount or how we make it happen. Defaulting                           | A. I had been in the ARS world in my               |
| on repo could be the best, as discount could be                         | previous job, and I assumed it was forwarded to    |
| taken from haircut." Do you see that?                                   | me so they could figure out who should answer      |
| 16 A. Uh-huh.   | 16 these questions, who would be most expert to    |
| Q. You may have said something a moment                                 | 17 answer them.                                    |
| ago about this, but let me ask you, did you have                        | 18 Q. All right. So when you got the whole         |
| an understanding when you saw this e-mail of                            | 19 e-mail, including the other two questions       |
| what it was Mr. Reilly was talking about, using                         | 20 A. Yep.   |
| the repo?   | 21 Q. — am I fairly understanding your             |
| A. No, this was before I was involved,                                  | testimony that you didn't really focus on 3        |
| and I was CC'd on this e-mail because of my work                        | because it wasn't in your area of                  |
| •   | responsibility, you didn't understand it to be     |
| in the auction rate book and the prime brokerage financing at the time. | 25 addressed to you?                               |
| financing at the time.  | TO AUDICOSCU W JUL.                                |

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

0

11

12

.3

4

5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 90

#### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK

- A. As a matter of fact, my answer indicates what I did was I redirected them to people I thought could be helpful.
  - Q. Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

.0

.1

.2

.3

4

. 5

6

.7

. 8

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

117

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. That's what I thought my responsibility would be.
  - O. Okay.
  - A. Try to put point them in a direction.
  - Q. Beg your pardon.

And with respect to the third question, you say, "The third question is definitely for Cogs," C-O-G-S. Is that a reference to Mr. Coghlan?

- A. John Coghlan, yes.
- O. John Coghlan, okay. And why was it a question for John Coghlan?
- A. Because he was head of repo financing at the firm.
- O. Do you know if Mr. Coghlan ever did address the third question?
  - A. No idea.
- Q. Now, when you were in the Friday meeting and the topic of the repo was being discussed -- withdrawn.

Page 91

#### **HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK**

This is sent, this e-mail comes to you on Thursday?

- A. Yes.
- Q. At roughly 6:40 in the morning. Note that that's Greenwich mean time shown there.
  - A. Yeah.
- O. The next morning you're in a meeting where the repo is being discussed. Did that trigger any recollection in your mind about, you know, an e-mail discussion the prior day about using the repo as a means of making the block discount happen?
- A. No, I, you know, I get 500 e-mails a day, and during this period of time we were getting probably twice that. So ...
  - Q. And when you saw a reference --
- A. I was answering all of them, so, you know, or as many of them as I could.
- Q. And also to the mysterious number that we finally identified.
- A. Yes, and then there's the mysterious number.
  - Q. Now, the --
  - I don't think the company's in

Page 92

Page 93

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK be, you know, you have to do what you have to do?

- A. Those are your rights.
- Q. And you don't know if the repo was in fact ever terminated by Barclays?
  - A. I don't know that.
- O. Okay. So now what happens? Does the meeting end or is there further discussion?
- I recall the meeting ending at that time.
  - Q. And what did you do next?
- A. I went back to my office. I called the various senior executives I was going to meet with and told them that we should be getting a new schedule at some point of assets that we would have to -- they should ignore that schedule of assets and we would be getting a new schedule of assets at some point to try to put some values on.
- Q. When you say "ignore that schedule of assets," again, for clarity of record, are you talking about Exhibit 19, the original financial schedule?
  - I'm sorry, no, I'm talking about the

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK

business anymore.

- Q. Was there a reference to the -- any discussion in the Friday meeting of a discount?
- A. No, not that I recall.
- Q. Okay. So I'll summarize it just to frame my next question so you're not married to how I do this. But as I understand it, the meetings happened, you identified the JPM problem, the recommendation is made to transfer what's in the repo, and there's some issues about how to value what's in there; is that a fair summary?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And the general sense of the meeting from both sides of the table is, okay, let's go to it and try and figure this out, we have until about 4 o'clock to see if we can solve this, ves?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And Barclays says if we can't reach a resolution of that by about 4 o'clock, we may have to terminate the repo, correct?
  - A. Correct.
  - And McDade has said if that comes to

|  | Page 94   | *************************************** | Page 95   |
|--|---|---|---|
| 1                                      | HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK   | 1                                       | HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK   |
| 2                                      | list of hundred assets that was delivered to the  | 2                                       | A. We waited for a deliverable schedule   |
| 3                                      | desk early that morning.  | 3                                       | from finance.   |
| 4                                      | Q. Got it. As part of the fire sale   | 4                                       | Q. Did you get one?   |
| 5                                      | liquidation?  | 5                                       | A. Got one at sometime within the hour.   |
| 6                                      | A. Correct.   | 6                                       | Q. And from whom within finance did you   |
| 7                                      | Q. And you told them they would be  | 7                                       | receive that?   |
| 8                                      | getting a new list of assets. Who is it you're  | 8                                       | A. I don't remember who it was.   |
| 9                                      | having these communications with?   | 9                                       | Q. Who within finance was in charge of  |
| 10                                     | A. I certainly called Mike Gelband and I  | 10                                      | that piece?   |
| 11                                     | would have called some subset, although I don't   | 11                                      | A. It would have been some combination  |
| 12                                     | recall who I spoke to specifically or who Mike  | 12                                      | well, no, most likely it would have been Paolo,   |
| 13                                     | spoke to, but I would have called either  | 13                                      | working with accounting.  |
| L 4                                    | Kaushik, Charlie, Eric and Gerry.   | 14                                      | Q. And Paolo or somebody at his direction   |
| 15                                     | Q. Eric is Eric Felder?   | 15                                      | delivers a schedule. To whom is it delivered?   |
| <b>L</b> 6                             | A. Yes.   | 16                                      | A. I don't recall, but I'm sure it was  |
| 17                                     | Q. And Gerry is Gerald Donini?  | 17                                      | instructed to be delivered directly to the  |
| 18                                     | A. Yes.   | 18                                      | people on this list that I mentioned before and   |
| 19                                     | Q. And who is Charlie? Is that Charlie  | 19                                      | myself and Mike.  |
| 20                                     | Spero?  | 20                                      | Q. And what happened with the list?   |
| 21                                     | A. Spero, uh-huh.   | 21                                      | A. We asked the senior managers to try to   |
| 22                                     | Q. And did do you this on a conference  | 22                                      | value the list given the market conditions that   |
| 23                                     | call? Call them separately? In a meeting?   | 23                                      | day, and generally the response was the markets   |
| 24                                     | A. Probably called them separately.   | 24                                      | are too volatile, there's too many line items,  |
| 25                                     | Q. And what happened after that?  | 25                                      | it's not possible to get this done in any   |
|  | Page 96   |   | Page 97   |
| 1                                      | HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK   | 1                                       | HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK   |
| 2                                      | pinpoint fashion in this timeframe, but we'll   | 2                                       | valuations to what was held as collateral?  |
| 3                                      | try.  | 3                                       | A. I don't recall having that specific  |
| 4                                      | Q. And at some point was there did  | 4                                       | conversation.   |
| 5                                      | they solve that problem? Did they produce   | 5                                       | Q. Do you know if anyone did have that  |
| 6                                      | valuations?   | 6                                       | discussion, that conversation with Barclays?  |
| 7                                      | A. The only valuations we got was that  | 7                                       | A. They might have.   |
| 8                                      | I don't know what they communicated to Barry  | 8                                       | Q. Without regard to the particular   |
| 9                                      | Ridings or the people working on that specific  | 9                                       | detail or even the number   |
| 10                                     | testimony.  | 10                                      | A. Yeah.  |
| 11                                     | Q. Uh-huh.  | 11                                      | Q you had a sense of whether by, you  |
| 12                                     | A. But I got the word back generally that   | 12                                      | know, at some point on that Friday a value was  |
| 13                                     | many of these positions were so illiquid that, you know, that if we were to try to sell them,   | 13<br>14                                | put on the collateral within the repo?  A. We at Lehman determined that the   |
| 14                                     | VOU KNOW TOUTH WE WELE TO LEV TO SELL DIED!   | £1.4±                                   | A. We at Lemman determined that the   |
|  |   | h                                       |   |
| 15<br>16                               | given our circumstances, you know, the bids   | 15<br>16                                | out the volatility of those outcomes we   |
| 16                                     | given our circumstances, you know, the bids might be down 20 percent.   | 16                                      | out the volatility of those outcomes we couldn't put a number that was specific on it.  |
| 16<br>17                               | given our circumstances, you know, the bids might be down 20 percent.  Q. Was there any discussion about looking  | 16<br>17                                | out the volatility of those outcomes we couldn't put a number that was specific on it. It was, given how illiquid many of the assets  |
| 16<br>17<br>18                         | given our circumstances, you know, the bids might be down 20 percent.  Q. Was there any discussion about looking at the valuations that Bank of New York had  | 16<br>17<br>18                          | out the volatility of those outcomes we couldn't put a number that was specific on it. It was, given how illiquid many of the assets were, some of the assets you could value, but  |
| 16<br>17<br>18<br>19                   | given our circumstances, you know, the bids might be down 20 percent.  Q. Was there any discussion about looking at the valuations that Bank of New York had given to what was in the repo?   | 16<br>17<br>18<br>19                    | out the volatility of those outcomes we couldn't put a number that was specific on it. It was, given how illiquid many of the assets were, some of the assets you could value, but the markets were tremendously volatile all week.   |
| 16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20             | given our circumstances, you know, the bids might be down 20 percent.  Q. Was there any discussion about looking at the valuations that Bank of New York had given to what was in the repo?  A. We didn't have access to Bank of New  | 16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20              | out the volatility of those outcomes we couldn't put a number that was specific on it.  It was, given how illiquid many of the assets were, some of the assets you could value, but the markets were tremendously volatile all week.  We had had, you know, been getting  |
| 16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21       | given our circumstances, you know, the bids might be down 20 percent.  Q. Was there any discussion about looking at the valuations that Bank of New York had given to what was in the repo?  A. We didn't have access to Bank of New York's valuations, I don't believe.                                    | 16<br>17<br>19<br>20<br>21              | out the volatility of those outcomes we couldn't put a number that was specific on it. It was, given how illiquid many of the assets were, some of the assets you could value, but the markets were tremendously volatile all week.  We had had, you know, been getting closed out of just the prior day we got   |
| 16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22 | given our circumstances, you know, the bids might be down 20 percent.  Q. Was there any discussion about looking at the valuations that Bank of New York had given to what was in the repo?  A. We didn't have access to Bank of New York's valuations, I don't believe.  Q. Did you talk to anyone who was | 16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22  | out the volatility of those outcomes we couldn't put a number that was specific on it. It was, given how illiquid many of the assets were, some of the assets you could value, but the markets were tremendously volatile all week.  We had had, you know, been getting closed out of just the prior day we got closed out of a repo, a futures position on the |
| 16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21       | given our circumstances, you know, the bids might be down 20 percent.  Q. Was there any discussion about looking at the valuations that Bank of New York had given to what was in the repo?  A. We didn't have access to Bank of New York's valuations, I don't believe.                                    | 16<br>17<br>19<br>20<br>21              | out the volatility of those outcomes we couldn't put a number that was specific on it. It was, given how illiquid many of the assets were, some of the assets you could value, but the markets were tremendously volatile all week.  We had had, you know, been getting closed out of just the prior day we got   |

to see if the collateral agent applied

As far as we could tell, the markets

Page 98

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK hadn't moved that much. Many of them were in Treasury and government bond futures, but the CME called us to inform us they had closed us out of the position and we had lost all the

money in excess margin. So it was becoming very hard to value even what were deemed to be liquid securities.

L1

.2

.3

- Q. Just so we're clear here, the closing out of the position by the Chicago Merc doesn't bear on the collateral that's within the repo; that's a separate event, correct?
- A. That is a separate event, but it was -- it was demonstrative of the volatility and the issues we were wrestling with.
- Q. And the question that I would like to put is, did Lehman come to some number, did it come to a value, a valuation of the collateral that was within the Barclays Repurchase Agreement?
- A. We couldn't come up with a specific value. We didn't have time. We knew we didn't -- we tried, but we couldn't, and we knew the risk was that Barclays would close out of the repo and take all that collateral, so they

Page 99

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK owned it, that we would end up with no excess from that collateral, and that from the very high-level work that the senior risk managers did, which we were relying upon, that we could be well out of the money, it was likely that we could be well -- we would be well out of the money in that below the haircut, which I believe, understood to be somewhere between 5 and 10 percent.

- Q. When you referred to a moment ago to one of the risks was that we would not end up with -- we would end up with no excess, what did you mean by that?
- A. Meaning that if Barclays closed us out of the repo, our experience had been, not just in that period of time but other cases, but certainly in that week, that their liquidation of that collateral would eat through more than the haircut they had and that they would not get back a hundred cents on the dollar. So we, Lehman, would not receive any proceeds back from the liquidation of that collateral.
- Q. What was your understanding of what would happen if there was excess collateral?

Page 100

Page 101

### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK

Who would keep that?

A. If there was excess collateral, Lehman would keep that value.

- Q. Was that discussed at the Friday meeting, that if there was excess collateral, it would say with Lehman?
- A. There was not a discussion of closing out the repo and the mechanics of it.
- Q. So did there come a point on Friday where Lehman communicated to Barclays either -- where it communicated a value of the repo or it said it couldn't? What happens next vis-a-vis talking to Barclays.
- A. In terms of talking to Barclays, the next meeting was at some point, call it 3 o'clock in the afternoon, and they were indicating that the -- their view of the value of the repo securities was far below the stated value and below their loan value and that Lehman should attempt to find other unencumbered assets, should continue to attempt to find other unencumbered assets or the transaction may not take place.
  - Q. Now, is this response from Barclays

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK after the Friday meeting has ended? I want to get a sense of the timeline within Friday of when Barclays does this.

- A. This is like 3 o'clock in the afternoon.
- Q. Does Barclays tell you, does Barclays tell Lehman how much difference has to be made up?
  - A. No.
- Q. Is your answer that you don't remember or that you remember that they didn't?
  - A. I remember they didn't.
  - Q. So what happens now?
- A. We said we'll continue to look. And Ian and I had a conversation with McDade offline, just he and I. I said, I don't have any basis or enough information to argue with them about their point of view, about the value of collateral, and that the high-level work we've been doing leads me to believe that they have a reason to be nervous about this.
  - Q. And what was (Mr. Kelley confers with the witness.)
  - A. Barclays.

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK I'm sorry. Who is "they," he asked me. Barclays has a reason to be nervous. O. And did McDade give any instructions or suggestions about what to do next? A. He said, well, make sure Ian is working on any possible unencumbered assets. Q. So did McDade give a target of any kind of how much in unencumbered assets needed to be found? A. At that point, all we could do was figure out what was there, and specifically I don't recall, but I do -- I do recall that the shortfall was described as, you know, billions of dollars. 

## Q. And by "the shortfall," you're referring to what?

- A. The value that Barclays thought those repo assets were worth versus their stated value.
- Q. That's the shortfall between what Barclays thought they were actually worth and the amount of the repo?
- A. Yeah.

Q. So your recollection is that the

Page 102 Page 103

### HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK shortfall was in the billions of dollars?

- A. Yes.
- Q. But do you have a recollection of whether it was between 2 billion and a gazillion billion? Is there some range you were thinking of at the time?
  - A. Somewhere between 2 and 5.
- Q. And I'm trying to get a sense here, that's why I keep pushing at the number --
  - A. Yeah.
- Q. -- I'm trying to get a sense here of what the project is. Is it go find every unencumbered asset we have on the one end of the possibilities, or we have to make up this specific shortfall, go find that amount at the other?
- A. I didn't have the conversation. Bart had the conversation with Ian, so I didn't have that conversation specifically with him.
- Q. So you don't know one way or the other whether Mr. McDade gave a target of some kind to Mr. Lowitt?
  - A. No, I don't know that.
  - Q. Your understanding from your view of

Page 104

Page 105

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK things was there was a shortfall?

A. Yes.

Q. It wasn't Barclays was saying it wouldn't be made up out of the repo bucket?

A. Yeah.

Q. Therefore, other assets, unencumbered assets, capable of delivery had to be found?

A. Right.

Q. It was in the billions, but for your -- for the purposes of what you were doing, you didn't really need to know the number, you just needed to know the problem had --

- A. Right, I was not the one who was going to solve those specific issues, so that was someone else's job.
- Q. Was anyone other than Lowitt involved in the particulars of solving the problem?
  - A. I don't know the answer to that.
- Q. Did you ever learn whether Lowitt enlisted others in the task of finding unencumbered assets?
  - A. No, I didn't, I didn't find out.
- Q. Did there come a time when you learned whether additional value, additional

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK unencumbered assets were located that could be transferred to Barclays?

A. Sometime late in the afternoon between 3 and 4, Ian came into a meeting where I was about to start a phone call with Bart, the Weil Gotshal lawyers on the phone, and I was sitting in the room with Mark Shapiro and I think it was Jim Seery and the Barclays, again, Diamond, Ricci, Klein and Keegan, and Ian came in and he said there's a -- there's a schedule of assets that we have that are unencumbered. I believe the number was \$1.9 billion of marked value.

In addition, he said there might be value in our 15c3 margin, which at the time I remember that specifically because I was like, "Gee, what's that? I've never heard of that." So he said there might be value there, I don't know yet, and there might be others.

So we said to Ian, well, get us a schedule of what's in the 1.9 billion in the -- I think he referred to it as being in the box unencumbered of these unencumbered assets, i.e., they were being financed by Lehman's unsecured debt, I believe at the time, or equity, and he

Page 106

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK came back with that list, showed it to myself and to Keegan and some others.

Keegan looked at it and said, you know, this is the -- these assets are even harder to value than what we already have. I don't even know what these are. I specifically remember there being residential mortgage residual interests and things like IOs and some very illiquid aged positions in high-yield and distressed debt. I don't specifically recall what else it was, but I do recall the list was a -- there was a reason why there was nobody financing those assets and it was because they were the most illiquid and hardest to value securities that Lehman Brothers owned on its balance sheet.

- Q. So when Keegan said these are even harder to value than --
  - A. Yeah.

.3

.7

. 8

. 0

.2

.3

L 4

.5

. 6

L 8

- Q. -- than the other stuff, was he comparing this new schedule with the hard-to-value stuff in the repo?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. And apart from saying it was hard to

Page 108

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK assets or, in this case, having the equity finance the assets. And so there was some back and forth around that, and Keegan made the conclusion that he was not going to warrant any positive value on these assets from his seat, and he made that -- he sort of said that to Rich Ricci and Bob Diamond: "I can't value these. I would be very nervous about putting a positive value on them."

- Q. So, just to kind of cut to the end of the sequence here, does Barclays agree to take these additional buckets of value, you know, the 15c3 and the assets, the unencumbered assets in the box?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And when they make that agreement, are you present? Was that at this meeting?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And tell me what was said in that regard.

A. That, all right, we don't know what they're worth. They might be worth something, so we want them. And Bart was on the phone, he agreed -- he agreed to that, and I think that

Page 107

## HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK value, did Keegan have anything to say about that?

- A. He said I don't know how I'm going to put a value on this of any positive number.
- Q. Describe for me as best you can the conversation about that topic and who said what.

A. Ian came in. He delivered this -- he said -- we started the conversation with Ian delivering the list of assets, and he handed it to Keegan -- he handed out probably five copies. Most people were just staring at it saying nothing.

Mike looked at it and said, you know, I -- what's this asset? What's that asset? I think Ian may have answered specifically if he knew what the nature of those assets were, because in like residential mortgages you have names for deals that unless you knew that that was a residential mortgage deal, you wouldn't know what it was, you know, Sasco or things of that nature.

And Ian had some familiarity with the -- with what the assets were because he had been responsible for financing those sorts of

Page 109

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - A. KIRK was the sum and the substance as to what was said about those assets.

- Q. Was any number put on now the total value of the deal, combining the assets that were in the repo plus the 15c3 and the unencumbered assets in the box?
- A. I don't recall a specific number being put on the -- on the deal.
- Q. Whether you recall today what the number was, I'm going to press on this a little bit, do you recall if a number was put out there?
- A. I'm sorry, I don't recall what -- whether -- I don't recall whether there was a number specifically put out there.
- Q. Okay. And did you have a sense after this conversation, Mr. Lowitt reports on the 15c3 assets and the unencumbered assets and Keegan says, I can't value those and then the agreement's made, well, we'll take them because there may be some value, whether after that point there were additional searches for value? Do you know one way or the other?
  - A. I don't recall one way or another. I